



DEPARTMENT OF
ECOLOGY
State of Washington



DRAFT

Environmental Performance Partnership Agreement

Washington State Department of Ecology
US Environmental Protection Agency

State Fiscal Years 2014 – 2015
July 1, 2013 – June 30, 2015

May 2013
Publication no. 13-01-004

Publication and Contact Information

This report is available from:

- Ecology's website at <https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/publications/SummaryPages/1301004.html>
- EPA's website at <http://yosemite.epa.gov/R10/homepage.nsf/washington/washington-ppa>

For more information contact:

ECOLOGY

Cullen Stephenson

WA Department of Ecology
300 Desmond Drive
PO Box 47600
Olympia, WA 98504-7600
Phone: 360-407-6822
Email: cullen.stephenson@ecy.wa.gov

EPA REGION 10

Jack Boller

US EPA, Region 10
Washington Operations Office
300 Desmond Drive, Suite 102
Lacey, WA 98503
Phone: 206-553-2953
FAX: 360-753-8080
Email: oller.jack@epamail.epa.gov

To ask about the availability of this document in a format for the visually impaired, call Ecology's Executive Office at 360-407-7000. Persons with hearing loss can call 711 for Washington Relay Service. Persons with a speech disability can call 877-833-6341.

DRAFT
**Environmental Performance Partnership
Agreement**

State Fiscal Years 2014 – 2015
July 1, 2013 – June 30, 2015

by

Washington State Department of Ecology

and

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

May 2013

DRAFT

Table of Contents

Chapter 1: Performance Partnership Overview	1
Introduction	1
Purpose	1
Budget Concerns	1
• Overarching Goals and Objectives	2
What is Not Covered in this Agreement	2
Ecology’s Primary Programs Covered in this Agreement	3
Priorities	3
Ecology’s and EPAs Planning Processes	4
Tribal Relations	5
• Ecology-Tribal Environmental Council	5
EPA Grants to Ecology	5
• Performance Partnership Grant	6
• RCRA Grant	7
Assessment Process	7
Chapter 2: Quality Assurance	9
Introduction	9
• Quality Assurance Policies	9
• Quality Management Plan	9
• National Estuary Program Addendum to 2010 Quality Management Plan	10
• Status Reports	10
• Audits	10
Chapter 3: Information Management	11
Introduction	11
Data Sharing	11
Data Integration	11
• National Environmental Information Exchange Network	11
Chapter 4: Environmental Justice	13
Introduction	13
Environmental Justice Activities	13
• Compliance with Title VI	13
• Regional Environmental Justice Coordination	13
• Resource/Data Sharing	14
• Public Networking	15

- [Training](#)..... 15
- [Climate Change](#) 15
- [Children’s Health](#)..... 15

[Chapter 5: Compliance Assurance](#)..... 17

[Introduction](#) 17

[Compliance Principles](#)..... 17

[Consideration of Economic Benefits of Non-compliance](#) 17

[Alternative Methods of Achieving Compliance](#) 18

[Evaluating Compliance Assurance Programs](#) 18

[Chapter 6: Mutual Priorities for EPA and Ecology](#) 19

[Introduction](#) 19

[Reducing Toxic Threats](#)..... 19

[Managing Our Water](#) 20

[Protecting and Restoring Puget Sound](#) 21

- [Puget Sound Priorities for EPA and Ecology](#) 21
- [Nutrients Prevention, Reduction, and Control](#) 21
- [Toxics Prevention, Reduction, and Control](#) 22
- [Stormwater](#) 22

[Hanford](#) 22

[Climate Change and Ocean Acidification](#) 23

[Chapter 7: Air Quality Program](#) 25

[Introduction](#) 25

[Review Process](#) 26

[EPA Strategic Plan Alignment](#) 26

- [Criteria Pollutants](#)..... 26
- [Air Toxics](#) 27
- [Permitting and Program Delegation](#) 29
- [Compliance Assurance](#) 30
- [Monitoring and Assessment](#)..... 31

[Chapter 8: Hazardous Waste \(RCRA\)](#) 33

[Introduction](#) 33

[Assuring Compliance](#) 33

[Ecology’s RCRA Activities](#) 33

[EPA’s RCRA Activities](#)..... 34

[Evaluating Activity Commitments and Levels of Effort](#) 34

RCRA Priorities and Goals	34
Environmental and Performance Indicators	35
Grant Performance Outputs	36
• <u>Full-time Employee Summary</u>	<u>36</u>
Activities, Mid-term Review, FTEs, and RCRA Work Plan	36
• <u>RCRA Work Plan</u>	<u>36</u>
• <u>Beyond Waste Program (Ecology).....</u>	<u>37</u>
• <u>RCRA Authorization.....</u>	<u>37</u>
• <u>RCRA Information Management.....</u>	<u>38</u>
Compliance Assurance.....	39
Corrective Action	40
<u>Nationwide Goal for 2020.....</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Interim Nationwide Goal for 2014.....</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>Quarterly Annual Updates</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>Permitting and Closure Work Commitments</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>Specific to the Nuclear Waste Program.....</u>	<u>42</u>
Technical Assistance.....	43
EPA Technical Assistance to Ecology	43
EPA Coordination and Contracts.....	43
• <u>State Review Framework.....</u>	<u>43</u>
• <u>Program Coordination.....</u>	<u>43</u>
• <u>Contract Work.....</u>	<u>43</u>
Chapter 9: Water Quality Program.....	45
Introduction	45
Performance Partnership Grant Objectives, Activities, and Measures	45
• <u>Nonpoint Source Pollution Control.....</u>	<u>45</u>
• <u>Point Source Pollution Control</u>	<u>47</u>
• <u>Water Cleanup Plans and Standards.....</u>	<u>51</u>
• <u>Stormwater</u>	<u>54</u>
• <u>Groundwater and Underground Injection Control</u>	<u>56</u>
• <u>Sediments</u>	<u>58</u>
• <u>Financial Assistance</u>	<u>60</u>
• <u>Administrative</u>	<u>62</u>
Appendix A: Public Comments.....	65
Appendix B: RCRA Authorization and Permitting Procedures	67

Performance Partnership Overview

Introduction

This Environmental Performance Partnership Agreement (Agreement) documents the work commitments between the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). All aspects of this Agreement regarding EPA are managed through EPA Region 10, Seattle, Washington. This Agreement describes EPA-funded activities carried out by Ecology programs that address water quality, air quality, hazardous¹ waste, and nuclear waste. This Agreement covers July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015, and does not restrict either EPA's or Ecology's legal oversight or enforcement authority.

Decisions made by Ecology and EPA are the basis for the commitments and plans in this Agreement. Before this Agreement is made final and signed by both parties, it is subject to a 30-day formal public review period. Comments received during this period and responses will be provided in Appendix A, page 65 of the final document.

Purpose

Ecology and EPA share responsibility to meet environmental and related public health priorities of Washington State. The purpose of this Agreement is to:

- Recognize mutual environmental goals, strategies, activities, and performance measures.
- Re-commit to maintain a core level of environmental protection for all of Washington's residents in a manner that supports and advances environmental justice.
- Use indicators that reflect environmental conditions, trends, and results to measure environmental progress.
- Collaborate on opportunities to advance children's health.
- Re-commit to collaborate with tribal partners and other states.
- Describe the joint RCRA Work Plan and resource allocations for managing the federal grant dollars that EPA provides to Ecology for air quality, water quality, and hazardous waste management.

Budget Concerns

Ecology's budget has been reduced because of state revenue shortfalls for the past two cycles (four years) of these agreements. For this Agreement's period, Ecology expects more budget cuts. Further, EPA anticipates a budget reduction during this same period. Combined, a reduction in capacity for many of the core activities by both agencies addressed in this Agreement is likely.

¹ Washington law uses the term *dangerous* waste. Federal law uses the term *hazardous* waste. Washington's definition of dangerous waste includes some wastes that are not included in the federal definition. For the purposes of this Agreement the term "hazardous waste" is used, respecting the distinction between the two terms.

This Agreement was drafted and publically reviewed before the state's or EPA's two-year budget for the same period was finalized. Specific reductions and impacts will not be clear until later in calendar year 2013, after this Agreement is signed and put into action.

Note to reviewers (May 2013): All "X" references to fiscal and staffing commitments are not final. At the time of this draft's public review, neither the Washington State Legislature nor Congress have made budget commitments applicable to this Agreement.

To address the time lag between signing and defining this Agreement's budget details and implications, both agencies agree to meet by the end of calendar year 2013. The meeting(s) will address specific budget cuts and related activities that may require adjustments to this Agreement's plans and commitments. If other budget adjustments are made during the period of the Agreement, both agencies will meet as needed to coordinate related impacts, activities, and deliverables.

Overarching Goals and Objectives

As part of this Agreement, EPA and Ecology recognize the following overarching goals and objectives. Although not always specifically addressed within this Agreement's details, they are still core values to the Agreement and both agencies. They are tied to EPA's [National Environmental Performance Partnership System Fiscal Year 2011 Guidance](#), available through EPA. The goals and objectives are:

Goal 1: Conduct joint strategic planning that reflects performance partnership principles.

- Identify opportunities for enhanced work sharing, resource and workload flexibility, and phased implementation of program requirements, especially where budget reductions have negatively affected states' programs.
- Identify and pursue collaborations to improve Ecology-EPA business processes. Promote continuous improvement (for example, by applying Lean, Kaizen, Value Stream Mapping, Six Sigma, and/or similar techniques).
- Use this Agreement to organize and articulate mutual compliance and enforcement priorities and plans.
- Advance performance partnership principles through effective collaboration with Ecology on policy and implementation issues, making full use of the issue resolution process to ensure that requests for flexibility and innovation are addressed and resolved at the highest levels needed.

Goal 2: Support EPA's current priorities.

- Leverage funds and activities to advance children's health.
- Advance environmental justice by improving environmental conditions and public health in minority, low-income, and other vulnerable communities.
- Explore creative new ways to partner with tribes that will augment the progress made through this Agreement.

Goal 3: Foster programmatically sound and fiscally responsible grant management practices.

What is Not Covered in this Agreement

This Agreement is between Ecology and EPA only.

- EPA-funded programs managed by the Washington State Department of Health and the Washington State Department of Agriculture are not subject to this Agreement.
- Indian Country and tribal resources are also not covered under this Agreement. The state and EPA have, and will continue to develop separate environmental agreements with individual tribes. Still, Ecology and EPA recognize that collaboration with individual and regional tribes is important for better environmental management, as well as for advancing environmental justice.

Ecology and EPA will continue coordinated work on a number of other commitments not included in this Agreement. Many of those commitments are referenced within this Agreement’s program-specific chapters. Those commitments include, but are not limited to:

- Requirements under the Endangered Species Act
- Approval of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Program
- State Revolving Loan Fund Operating Agreement
- State Revolving Loan Fund Intended Use Plan
- National Estuary Programs
- Nonpoint Source Annual Report
- Water Quality Management Plan to Control Nonpoint Source Pollution
- Operating Agreement for Clean Water Act Section 319 Nonpoint Source Grants Management
- Enforcement Response Policy for Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
- Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Memorandum of Agreement

Ecology’s Primary Programs Covered in this Agreement

Three Ecology programs: Air Quality, Water Quality, and Hazardous Waste and Toxics Reduction, are the primary recipients of EPA funds to carry out the work addressed in this Agreement. These programs are either delegated or authorized by EPA pursuant to the following respective federal laws: The Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act, and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA).

Ecology’s Industrial Section, within the Waste-2-Resources Program, and the Nuclear Waste Program also conduct activities covered by these same federal laws. Those activities are also covered by this Agreement.

Ecology programs carry out many other activities and administer many other laws that are not covered by this Agreement. Those activities are funded by other means, including some from EPA, but not by the grants specific to this Agreement.

Priorities

During this Agreement, Ecology and EPA will focus on these key priorities:

Environmental Priorities

- Reducing toxic threats
- Managing our water
- Protecting and restoring Puget Sound
- Hanford
- Climate change

Performance Management Priorities

- Increase efficiencies and minimize wasted efforts.
- Explore improved ways to partner.
- Make timely decisions.
- Maintain open, creative, and positive communication.
- Accurately measure performance and communicate results to the public.
- Ensure accountability.
- Apply flexible and innovative strategies to achieve environmental results.

Ecology’s and EPA’s Planning Processes

Ecology’s and EPA’s planning processes start with broad strategic goals and end with specific work plans to implement those goals. The chart below shows the different steps and how they relate to each other.

Relationship Between EPA’s and Ecology’s Planning Processes	
EPA	ECOLOGY
<p>Strategic Plan</p> <p>EPA’s national Strategic Plan provides the over-arching framework for EPA’s major planning, budgeting, and priority-setting processes. It is a five-year plan that guides annual goals.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">↓</p>	<p>Strategic Plan</p> <p>Ecology establishes priorities and framework at least every two years for program planning and budgeting. More frequent adjustments are required in many cases.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">↓</p>
<p>Annual Plan & Budget</p> <p>EPA links its annual planning and budget to its five-year plan. This establishes annual performance targets and funding levels for each fiscal year.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">↓</p>	<p>Biennial Budget</p> <p>The budget is developed every two years and adjusted annually. It links program plan activities and the budget to the strategic plan’s priorities and objectives.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">↓</p>
<p>Regional Plan</p> <p>Developed at the regional level, this Plan links regional activities to EPA’s national objectives. This is a basis for negotiating annual performance commitments with EPA headquarters.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">↓</p>	<p>Biennial Program Plans</p> <p>Ecology program plans are developed every two years with the biennial budgets. They establish goals, objectives, and performance targets and set the basis for performance measurements.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">↓</p>
<p>Performance Partnership Agreement</p> <p>This is developed in partnership to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Show the results of joint planning and priority setting efforts between the two agencies. • Evaluate environmental conditions and program needs. • Agree on priorities covered within the Agreement’s scope. • Devise strategies to address priority needs. • Determine roles and responsibilities. • Determine how to measure progress. 	

Tribal Relations

Ecology and EPA have important relationships with federally recognized Indian tribes. The federally recognized tribes are sovereign nations with regulatory authority within Indian Country. Their rights and resources are reserved by these treaties or by other means. The United States government has a unique trust responsibility to these tribal governments through treaties, state and federal laws, executive orders, and court decisions. Relationships with Indian groups and communities that are not federally recognized as tribes are also important to our agencies, but do not include the same trust or treaty agreements or equivalent laws.

Indian Country and tribal trust resources are not addressed within this Agreement. This Agreement is not intended to define or modify tribal relationships. Ecology and EPA have, and will continue to develop, separate environmental agreements with individual tribes outside of this Agreement. However, in mutual recognition of tribal collaboration as part of this Agreement, EPA and Ecology will continue to provide each other with copies of our respective environmental agreements with the tribes upon request.

The EPA Indian Policy established in 1984 commits EPA to operate in a government-to-government relationship with Indian tribes. The policy supports the self-government principle for tribes that manage federal environmental programs in Indian Country. When other agencies implement environmental programs, EPA emphasizes the importance of working with tribes. EPA also encourages cooperation between state, tribal, and local governments to resolve environmental issues of mutual concern. It is very important for Ecology and EPA to work with tribes to address Endangered Species Act issues related to the current and proposed listings of several species in Washington State.

The historic Centennial Accord, signed by tribes and the State of Washington in 1989, commits the parties to a heightened level of mutual government-to-government cooperation. Ecology's Centennial Accord Implementation Plan is available on the Governor's Office of Indian Affairs website: www.goia.wa.gov/Government-to-Government/CentennialAgreement.html. In addition, Washington State law, Chapter 122, Laws of 2012, State-Tribal Relationship – Indian Tribes, directs state agencies to make reasonable efforts to collaborate with Indian tribes in the development of policies, agreements, and program implementation that directly affect them.

Ecology-Tribal Environmental Council

The unique legal status of tribes and presence of tribally reserved rights and cultural interests throughout Washington creates a special relationship between tribes and Ecology. Consequently, under the Centennial Accord, tribes and the state established the Ecology-Tribal Environmental Council. The Council brings together policy leaders from tribes and Ecology quarterly, to discuss natural resource issues of statewide concern. Due to federal laws and inherent tribal sovereignty, each reservation in Washington constitutes a bordering jurisdiction for environmental purposes. Ecology is committed to working with tribes and EPA across jurisdictional borders to establish and support compatible standards and cooperative and coordinated programs where appropriate.

EPA Grants to Ecology

This Agreement includes joint Ecology and EPA activities related to air quality, hazardous waste management, and water quality.

Ecology is *delegated* by EPA to administer Clean Air Act and Clean Water Act activities addressed in this Agreement. Those activities are funded in part through EPA’s consolidated “Performance Partnership” grant. Ecology is *authorized* to administer the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) regarding hazardous waste management activities, also addressed in this Agreement. Reflecting this legal difference between “delegation” and “authorization,” Ecology receives a RCRA grant that is separate from the Performance Partnership grant. For the remainder of this Agreement, the terms “delegated” and “authorized” are considered the same for general purposes, respecting there is a legal distinction between the two terms.

This Agreement does not cover all Ecology work funded by EPA grants. The table below lists the grants that are included in this Agreement.

Agreement Grants – Fiscal Years 2014 – 2015

ECY #	EPA #	Ecology Title	EPA Catalog Title	Estimated EPA Grant Amount	End Date
Air Quality					
FB00	66.605	Air Section 105 Base FY10	Performance Partnership Grant	\$X	6/30/14
FB00	66.605	Air Section 105 Base FY11	Performance Partnership Grant	\$X	6/30/15
Hazardous Waste Management					
M215	66.801	Hazardous Waste RCRA FY10	Hazardous Waste Management Support	\$X	6/30/14
M216	66.801	Hazardous Waste RCRA FY11	Hazardous Waste Management Support	\$X	6/30/15
Water Quality					
FB00	66.605	Water Grants	Performance Partnership Grant	\$X	6/30/14
FB00	66.605	Water Grants	Performance Partnership Grant	\$X	6/30/15

Performance Partnership Grant

The purpose of the Performance Partnership Grant is to:

- Reduce administrative burden by consolidating several air and water grants into one.
- Increase the flexibility to reallocate resources between grants and programs to meet the highest environmental priorities in the state.

Funding sources for the Performance Partnership Grant include the:

- Surface Water 106 Grant (Basic Water Grant)
- Groundwater 106 Basic Grant
- Groundwater Pesticides Grant
- Underground Injection Control Grant
- Clean Air Act Section 105 Base Grant

RCRA Grant

Hazardous waste activities described in this Agreement are funded in part by a federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act 3011 grant to Ecology. The RCRA grant is separate from the Performance Partnership Grant.

Assessment Process

All elements of this Agreement are important to both agencies and will be open to assessment, enhancement, and correction as needed.

Ecology and EPA will regularly, together and independently, assess the progress of the specific activities covered in this Agreement. These assessments will focus on activities subject to the air quality, water quality, and hazardous waste elements funded by the grants noted above. Other parts of the Agreement will be open to assessment as the need arises.

Assessments of the funded elements of the Agreement will identify any actions needed to assure success and compliance with the Agreement. Ecology and EPA will use the regular assessments to consider work adjustments, and if necessary, amend the Agreement. If a formal amendment is needed, there will be a public review and comment process prior to its completion.

At the midterm of the Agreement (by August 2014), Ecology and EPA will post a basic, summary *midterm assessment* of the Agreement's progress for public review. Because it will be an overview only, the midterm assessment will include current contact information at both agencies for further information on the Agreement's assessment process and details. This is meant to ensure easy and timely public access to specific information on the progress of the work carried out under the Agreement. This also minimizes staff time needed to prepare and write a detailed report on the assessments.

The midterm assessment will include the following elements:

- **Compliance:** Are Ecology and EPA in compliance with the Agreement?
- **Budget Implications:** Are budget constraints impairing the Agreement's work?
- **Effectiveness:** Does the work covered in the Agreement apply resources to the highest environmental priorities and improve environmental outcomes?
- **Public access to review and engage:** Does the work covered in the Agreement advance environmental justice, community access, and public engagement related to that work?
- **Fiscal soundness and program accountability:** Are the funds used for the Agreement managed in an efficient, legal, effective, and economical manner?
- **Significant accomplishments or critical changes needed relative to the Agreement**

Approximately 18 months into this Agreement's term (early 2015), the combined assessments will form the basis for the next agreement's priorities and negotiations. That will help ensure accountability for this Agreement's completion and continuity with the next agreement's priorities. As with this Agreement's finalization, public review and comment will be part of the next agreement's finalization, before this Agreement expires.

The midterm assessment in 2014, combined with the next public review/comment process in 2015, provide annual (at least) assessments relative to this Agreement. As always, both agencies welcome questions about the Agreement's activities, including these assessments, at all times.

DRAFT

Quality Assurance

Introduction

It is critical for Ecology to have accurate environmental data about the condition of the air, water, and land to understand problems and take corrective actions. This is necessary to:

- Clean up and prevent pollution.
- Support sustainable communities and natural resources.

Most of EPA's grant money to Ecology requires certification that Quality Assurance Plans are developed and implemented. This ensures the millions of dollars spent on environmental sampling and analysis provide the quality of data needed for decision-making.

Quality assurance requirements for grants and cooperative agreements to state and local governments are contained in U.S. law (40 CFR Part 31 and quality assurance requirements for State and Local Assistance in 40 CFR Part 35). The following paragraphs describe how Ecology will continue to meet those requirements.

Quality Assurance Policies

Ecology implemented several agency-wide policies specifying quality assurance activities.

- Ecology Policy 22-01 - Establishing Quality Assurance - Requires the use of Quality Assurance Project Plans (QAPPs) for all projects that generate environmental data. It also establishes the documentation of the quality system in Ecology's Quality Management Plan (QMP).
- Ecology Policy 22-02 - Requiring the use of Accredited Environmental Laboratories - Requires the use of accredited labs for all data accepted by or generated by Ecology. Ecology's Lab Accreditation unit supports this quality requirement.
- Water Quality Program Policy 1-11 Chapter 2/Environmental Assessment Program Policy 01-09 Ensuring Credible Data for Water Quality Management - Establishes a set of rigorous quality requirements. This policy applies when data is submitted to Ecology related to water quality standards, 303d assessment, and Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) allocations.

Quality Management Plan

Ecology's Quality Management Plan (QMP) was last revised in October 2010, to conform to EPA's format and requirements and to align Ecology's plan with EPA's approach to environmental data quality. This QMP was approved by EPA Region 10's Quality Assurance Manager and, based on that approval, Ecology was delegated the authority to review and approve QAPPs based on procedures documented in the QMP.

National Estuary Program (NEP) Addendum to 2010 QMP

In 2011, Ecology developed an addendum to the most recent QMP. This addendum documented Ecology's new role in assuring quality for the NEP. Ecology has agreed to provide quality assurance oversight for all QAPPs developed for Puget Sound NEP grants. The program has been in place for over a year, and so far we have approved 28 QAPPs, approved 45 QAPP waivers, and conducted several field audits in support of the program.

Status Reports

Ecology's QMP specifies that the Quality Assurance Officer must prepare a status report for management every three years. This status report also includes recommendations for improvements in the QMP and its implementation. The document, *Washington State Department of Ecology Quality Report to Management* (July 2009-June 2012) is available at www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/eap/quality.html.

Audits

The EPA Region 10 Quality Assurance and Management Unit perform audits of approved state environmental programs. Ecology's most recent audit in March 2012 resulted in no findings by the EPA quality reviewers, indicating that the Ecology quality system was being implemented in an acceptable manner.

DRAFT

Information Management

Introduction

Ecology and EPA recognize that easy access to quality information plays an important role in helping both agencies achieve their environmental goals. Finding solutions to current environmental problems require the accurate and efficient capture, query, presentation, and sharing of data. It is also important to protect and secure this data.

Data Sharing

High quality information must be readily shared among the growing number of interested organizations and individuals. This requires information systems that are easy to access, integrated (facilities, permitting, compliance, etc.) and cross-program or cross-agency in nature (water quality/quantity, hazardous/toxic/solid waste, and air, etc.) to support scientific and administrative business needs. Both Ecology and EPA Region 10 continue to expand data sharing resources with the goal to make that data easily accessible to everyone.

In the same manner, both agencies will foster more data sharing with tribes, communities, local and regional governments. Ecology and EPA recognize this as a basic part of advancing environmental justice. For information about Ecology's many publicly accessible databases, please see www.ecy.wa.gov/database.html. More information about access to EPA's data is on the Region 10's homepage at www.epa.gov/aboutepa/region10.html.

Data Integration

Ecology and EPA will continue to develop and support common architectures and data standards to better organize, manage, and integrate the region's environmental data. This effort will help ensure the data is readily accessible for cross-program or cross-agency analysis. At Ecology, this work continues through its Information Technology (IT) Steering Committee responsible for the:

- IT strategic planning, policies, and priorities.
- Ongoing development of enterprise architecture.
- Ongoing implementation and support of the Exchange Network (EN).

National Environmental Information Exchange Network

EPA and Ecology will cooperate in the development of the Exchange Network (EN). EPA is committed to working with and providing resources to Ecology for the development of protocols necessary to expand the number of data flows to priority national data systems via the Exchange Network. It is EPA's goal that all of Ecology's national data flows report to EPA's Priority National Data Systems via the Data Exchange Network by the end of calendar year 2012. Ecology has made significant efforts to meet this goal and progress has been reviewed every six months by both agencies.

Progress thus far includes:

- All priority flows under Ecology's control are using EN approved technologies.
- Beginning in 2013, the Safe Drinking Water Information System flow, controlled by the Washington Department of Health will submit their data using the Exchange Network Services Center, and approved vehicle.
- Beginning in 2013, Ecology's RCRA Handler data will flow using the EN OpenNode2 technologies.

During 2014-2015, Ecology and EPA will complete the exploration of the options, technical issues, and logistics required to transfer data from Ecology's underground injection control (UIC) database to the national UIC database system and implement a data flow. If Ecology receives EPA Information Exchange Network Grant Program money, Ecology will use the grant money to prepare and upload the UIC data as well as 303(d) listing data to EPA's Central Data Exchange Network.

DRAFT

Environmental Justice

Introduction

One of the goals of Ecology is to support sustainable communities. To this end, the agency strives for the meaningful involvement of the public and to ensure its work aligns with one of its core values, environmental justice. Ecology is committed to the principles of environmental justice and shares EPA's goal "to provide an environment where all people enjoy the same degree of protection from environmental and health hazards and equal access to the decision-making process to maintain a healthy environment in which to live, learn, and work."

Both agencies will collaborate and coordinate to identify opportunities to advance environmental justice in Washington State. This ongoing effort will be led by the agencies' respective environmental justice coordinators within available resources. The environmental justice coordinators for each agency will carry out the tasks described in this chapter.

For more information about environmental justice work in the respective agencies, contact:

Ecology:

Millie Piazza, Environmental Justice Coordinator
Phone: (360) 407-6177 Email: mpia461@ecy.wa.gov

EPA Region 10:

Running Grass, Environmental Justice Regional Coordinator
Phone: (206) 553-2899 Email: Grass.Running@epamail.epa.gov

Environmental Justice Activities

Compliance with Title VI

Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibits discrimination based on race, color, and national origin, including limited English proficiency (LEP), by entities receiving federal financial assistance. To help achieve compliance with Title VI, EPA and Ecology will establish ongoing communication about emerging Title VI guidance and policies from EPA and opportunities for Title VI training.

Recipients of EPA financial assistance are also required under Title VI to provide meaningful access to LEP individuals. Ecology, with support from EPA, will develop Limited English Proficiency (LEP) guidelines to help ensure that Ecology's actions do not have discriminatory effects against LEP populations.

Regional EJ Coordination

EPA and Ecology agree to communicate about regional EJ issues, in particular areas that have emerging concerns or that have been prioritized by the agencies as an area for focused EJ actions. Opportunities for collaboration include recently identified areas with EJ concerns, including the Lower Yakima Valley and South Tacoma.

To further coordination, EPA and Ecology will participate in:

1. **Monthly regional update calls.** EPA and state environmental agency EJ coordinators in Region 10 (Washington, Oregon, Alaska, and Idaho) will participate in monthly calls or meetings to share information about current EJ issues, activities, and events. The goal is to increase knowledge, resource sharing, and collaboration on EJ issues. Topics may include funding, organizational changes, national developments, potential and recognized communities where EJ factors may exist, and other intergovernmental EJ activities.
2. **Stakeholder calls and events.** EPA and Ecology will jointly facilitate EJ stakeholder gatherings and/or conference calls to discuss topics and themes of importance to communities at large in Washington. ‘Stakeholders’ relative to these events refer to interested organizations and the public in general. The calls will allow both agencies to:
 - Better understand and address local EJ issues.
 - Better leverage existing resources.
 - Reach a broader networking audience.
 - Seek new input of use to the two agencies and communities across Washington.

These calls and/or events are not to replace, substitute for, or formally supplement statewide or site-specific public outreach, permitting, rule making, or similar public engagement activities required by either agency.

Resource / Data Sharing

Each agency will share data and access to tools that help better identify environmental justice factors and concerns in Washington’s communities. A primary goal of this on-going effort is to better track and understand such factors to better gauge environmental justice progress across the state. Data sharing and assessment will also continue to help both agencies improve the forms in which the information is presented. Another goal of this effort is to make both agencies’ data better understood by and more accessible to the public.

This goal reflects both agencies’ commitment to government transparency, and strives to improve community outreach and partnerships. Outcomes from this goal will include community demonstrations on how to better access, understand, and use data reflecting the communities’ environment. Data examples include air and water quality reports, the Toxic Release Inventory, and data referenced in this Agreement’s [Chapter 3](#).

The agencies’ environmental justice coordinators will assess common agency activities that could benefit from resource and data sharing. This will help determine which, if any tools or resources may enhance agency activities that can be associated with environmental justice factors, such as:

- Public outreach and education
- Enforcement
- Rule making
- Permitting
- Site cleanup
- Technical assistance
- Complaint response
- Compliance monitoring

Public Networking

As time and resources allow, EPA and Ecology will collaboratively host at least one EJ networking meeting in the state. The goal of the meeting is to share environmental justice oriented information and approaches and learn from each other. Potential participants include:

- Neighboring states
- Other Washington state agencies
- Local government agencies
- Public health-related entities
- Tribes
- Communities
- Business sectors
- Schools and universities

Both agencies will work together on efforts to build community partnerships and conversations through this networking. Activities may include hosting events focused on providing learning opportunities and training on issues related to environmental justice, children's health, and health disparities. These events are not to replace, substitute for, or formally supplement statewide or site-specific public outreach, permitting, rule making, or similar public engagement activities required by either agency.

Training

Both parties recognize the mutual value of coordinated, shared EJ training opportunities. The goal is to foster joint EJ training for each agency's EJ staff, general work force, and management. EPA will welcome Ecology staff to attend and participate in Region 10 EJ training opportunities. Likewise, Ecology will welcome EPA's participation in EJ training opportunities it sponsors.

One element in particular to be reviewed in these trainings will be the relationship to EPA and Ecology's activities, their funding, and Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. This will help ensure compliance with that law, and also remind staff of this relationship to EJ principles and our agencies' proper management of federal resources. Both parties will also track and coordinate other EJ training opportunities, such as those sponsored by local communities, academic institutions, and other agencies.

Climate Change

The impacts of climate change may disproportionately impact populations who have limited access to resources, are economically vulnerable, and are physically isolated. LEP communities and people with health and age considerations may also be at increased risk from climate change effects. Ecology and EPA will work together to track these risks using evolving climate change scenarios such as those described by the United States Global Change Research Program. Ecology and EPA will also work to develop statewide and regional emergency planning guidance that addresses considerations such as LEP, high-risk populations, and environmental justice concerns.

Children's Health

Both agencies are committed to the protection of children's health from environmental contaminants. Although the Agreement does not address activities specific to protecting this disproportionately impacted population, it does affirm the overarching awareness of the commitment. Both agencies have multiple efforts, including those covered in this Agreement, that align with protecting children's health. Both agencies will network, coordinate and mutually support those efforts for the protection of children's health.

EPA and Ecology will coordinate across children's health counterparts within EPA's children's health program and related Ecology efforts. These counterparts will exchange information (articles, research, internal efforts, etc.) regarding: children's environmental health issues, related grant opportunities, related activities with a potential for joint or coordinated involvement, and networking with other state agencies on this issue.

DRAFT

Compliance Assurance

Introduction

Because it is necessary to look beyond traditional regulatory approaches to get better, improved environmental benefits, Ecology and EPA are pursuing innovative approaches to environmental protection and compliance. Ecology and EPA share a desire for a strong compliance assurance program that achieves environmental protection by:

- Identifying compliance problems
- Providing technical assistance
- Taking action against violators
- Deterring future violations
- Ensuring a level playing field for law-abiding companies
- Offering incentives to comply

Compliance Principles

Ecology, EPA Region 10, and the other Region 10 states have endorsed a set of principles to guide state and federal relationships and actions in compliance and enforcement matters. The principles cover:

- Collaborative planning
- Agency roles
- Performance measurement and oversight
- Information sharing and data responsibilities

The principles are intended to help Ecology and EPA achieve maximum results with available state and federal resources. The principles are found in the document titled, *EPA/State Agency Agreement on Compliance Assurance Principles June 1997*

[http://yosemite.epa.gov/R10/ENFORCE.NSF/99e51b5997ff89f188256b60005fad71/9b2bdd1371aa19eb88256e5a005b8987/\\$FILE/Complianceassurance.pdf](http://yosemite.epa.gov/R10/ENFORCE.NSF/99e51b5997ff89f188256b60005fad71/9b2bdd1371aa19eb88256e5a005b8987/$FILE/Complianceassurance.pdf).

Consideration of Economic Benefits of Non-compliance

When issuing environmental penalties, EPA is directed to consider the economic benefit of non-compliance when making a penalty assessment. EPA's policy on the issuance of environmental penalties includes directing regulators to recoup the economic benefit of non-compliance in penalty assessments.

EPA expects Ecology to consider economic benefit as part of penalty calculations, and will evaluate Ecology on its implementation of this policy under the State Review Framework. EPA has developed a computer program called BEN model for optional use in calculating non-compliance economic benefit. Ecology's Compliance Assurance Manual (July 2003) includes a statement that Ecology should consider economic benefit in their penalty calculations when appropriate to do so.

Alternative Methods of Achieving Compliance

Ecology is involved in many activities intended to assure compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations. These include traditional enforcement and compliance activities such as inspections, fines, and other types of penalties along with:

- Alternative inspections
- Compliance assistance initiatives
- Technical assistance
- Educational programs
- Public awareness and notification
- Pollution prevention

Ecology's Compliance Assurance Manual includes a statement that enforcement tools may be used "when efforts to achieve voluntary compliance are unsuccessful." Each program uses a number of different approaches to achieve compliance.

Evaluating Compliance Assurance Programs

A set of principles has been developed by Ecology, EPA Region 10, and the other Region 10 states to clarify expectations for evaluating compliance assurance programs. These principles address:

- Program evaluation goals and objectives
- Frequency of evaluations
- Evaluation areas
- Information sources
- Communications
- Process management

These principles are included in the EPA document titled *Compliance Assurance Program Evaluation Principles*

(<http://yosemite.epa.gov/r10/enforce.NSF/dfc74aae099c57048825650f0070cb1e/c32dec93963ec4ef882569260054816f?OpenDocument>)

EPA conducted focused compliance assurance evaluations in accordance with the national State Review Framework (SRF) Program in 2012-2013. The reviews and evaluations applied to the air, hazardous waste, and water activities covered in this Agreement. Ecology will address areas of improvement and areas that need attention as identified in the 2013 final report. The next SRF review of Ecology programs is presently scheduled to be initiated in mid-2015.

Mutual Priorities for EPA and Ecology

Introduction

This chapter focuses on five major strategic priorities for both agencies over the next two years. Recognizing there are many other mutual priorities, these five are highlighted because of their unique complexities, substantial challenges, and because they rely upon strategic, multi-agency coordination to achieve success. These priorities require focused energy and creative leadership by both agencies, along with our many partners, to make real progress on protecting human health and the environment, and improving our quality of life. The five mutual priorities are:

1. Reducing toxic threats
2. Managing our water
3. Protecting and restoring Puget Sound
4. Hanford
5. Climate change

For more information about these and other high priorities, please see these agency websites.

- [U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10](http://www2.epa.gov/aboutepa/epa-region-10-pacific-northwest): www2.epa.gov/aboutepa/epa-region-10-pacific-northwest
- [Washington State Department of Ecology](http://www.ecy.wa.gov): www.ecy.wa.gov

1. Reducing Toxic Threats - www.ecy.wa.gov/toxics/index.htm

Washington is a national leader when it comes to enacting and implementing policies to clean up, manage, and prevent problems caused by the ongoing use of, and exposure to, toxic substances throughout our economy. Yet toxic substances and pollutants continue to pose risks to human health and the environment. They are in our air, water, and soil, and in our bodies. Some toxic chemicals impair development, some affect reproduction, some disrupt our body chemistry, and some cause cancer. Some chemicals have limited impacts on humans but can be devastating to fish or other species. Of the tens of thousands of chemicals in use today, we know about the toxicity of very few. And we know even less about the combined effects of all these chemicals.

Many environmental programs in Ecology and EPA are working to reduce toxic threats in one way or another. We have well established and effective programs to clean up and manage toxic substances. However, these programs were not designed to prevent many of the point or nonpoint releases of toxics that we are now finding to be problematic. While EPA has some authority to regulate toxic substances in products through the Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA), it is used very infrequently.

At the state level, Ecology is working to integrate and balance three ways of reducing toxic threats:

1. Prevent toxic substances from being used in the first place.
2. Limit or manage the amount of toxic substances that are put into the environment.
3. Clean up after toxic substances have polluted air, land, water, or sediment.

Ecology continues to refine permitting and compliance work to improve our ability to manage ongoing toxic releases. Both agencies continue to address the legacy left behind from the release of toxic substances through our cleanup programs. But ultimately, prevention programs are the smartest, cheapest, and healthiest approaches to reducing toxic threats.

While continuing the investments in cleanup and management, Ecology has adopted the following goals for preventing toxic contamination:

- Improve our ability to protect the most vulnerable human and wildlife populations.
- Avoid preventable future impacts and costs.
- Promote a strong, protective federal chemical policy and preserve the state's ability to innovate in this area.
- Create a systems approach to reducing toxic threats that is effective, fair, and economically feasible.
- Reduce and phase out the use of the worst of these toxic substances, known as PBTs or persistent, bioaccumulative, and toxic substances.
- Promote technological innovation and solutions.
- Increase compliance and enforcement of laws to limit or manage the use of toxic substances.
- Pursue innovative cleanup.
- Educate the public.

Both agencies are involved in remediating pollution at many toxic cleanup sites around the state. In addition to this work, both parties look forward to continued coordination where there are opportunities to minimize exposure to toxic threats in Washington's environment, including:

- Sharing data on hazards and risks of emerging toxic chemicals.
- Participating in development of the Chemical Action Plan for PCBs.
- Continuing support for establishment of a national mercury repository.
- Encouraging research on safer alternatives to halogenated flame retardants.
- Developing incentives to encourage the reduced use of toxics in manufacturing.
- Identifying safer alternatives.
- Continuing leadership of the Columbia River Toxics Workgroup.
- Supporting comprehensive reform of TSCA.

2. Managing our Water - www.ecy.wa.gov/managingwater/index.html; www2.epa.gov/aboutepa/epa-washington

As this Agreement is renewed, water management issues and their related challenges continue to be a high priority. Both agencies are committed to active collaboration and progress at addressing water management priorities. Water management is also directly tied to the other mutual priorities noted in this chapter: reducing toxic threats, Puget Sound, Hanford, and climate change.

Within EPA's website specific to Washington State, 12 of the 22 high-profile linked topics are about some aspect of managing Washington's waters (at the time of this Agreement's signature). Likewise,

Ecology's website also provides links to over a dozen water-related topics managed by the agency. While much of the cited work and priorities are not directly tied to work carried out under this Agreement, many are impacted by or subject to program specific activities that are covered elsewhere in this Agreement. For all of these reasons and issues, managing Washington's waters will remain a priority for EPA and Ecology during the period of this Agreement.

3. Protecting and Restoring Puget Sound -

www.ecy.wa.gov/climatechange/index.htm

EPA and Ecology are dedicated to the protection, cleanup, and restoration of Puget Sound. Puget Sound is one of the few estuaries EPA has specifically included in its National Strategic Plan. This elevation in status will enable EPA to focus more resources and federal funds towards cleanup goals and restoration efforts.

The state of Washington established the Puget Sound Partnership in 2006 to replace the Puget Sound Action Team and to reinvigorate the restoration and protection of Puget Sound. The Puget Sound Partnership recently updated the Action Agenda for Puget Sound in August 2012. The Action Agenda is a blueprint for restoring Puget Sound to a healthy state by 2020.

This Agreement highlights some key activities that EPA and Ecology will focus on in Puget Sound over the next two years. This is not intended to be a comprehensive list of activities but a highlight of key actions.

Puget Sound Priorities for EPA and Ecology

EPA and Ecology have jointly agreed to focus major resources towards restoring and protecting the water quality within the Puget Sound Watershed. EPA selected Ecology in 2010 to be the "Lead Organization" to manage two areas of grant funding: (1) watershed protection and restoration; and (2) toxics and nutrients prevention, reduction, and control. Lead Organizations are funded through the federal National Estuary Program as funds are appropriated to implement priorities of the Action Agenda.

Discussed in the following text are summaries of some of the major Puget Sound program-specific projects that EPA and Ecology have agreed to work on together, including some expected actions and outcomes.

Nutrients Prevention, Reduction, and Control

Excess nutrients promote the growth of algae, which in turn can reduce the levels of dissolved oxygen as the algae dies and decays. Both agencies are mindful of large-scale nutrient problems in other estuaries around the country (e.g., Chesapeake Bay, Gulf of Mexico, and Long Island Sound). We are monitoring sensitive areas in Puget Sound and building models to help identify how excess nutrients affect the health of Puget Sound. This will enable us to address nutrient problems before they become catastrophes.

Ecology is leading studies to identify how human activities (along with natural factors) affect low dissolved oxygen levels in Puget Sound. The results of the studies may show we need to reduce human-related sources of nitrogen to keep Puget Sound healthy. If reductions are needed, the studies will also help determine where the reductions might need to occur. EPA is serving on the Technical Advisory Committee for the studies. The studies will be completed in 2013.

Ecology, in collaboration with the Department of Health will also be lead on researching and drafting a petition to EPA for a no-discharge zone for boats in Puget Sound. A no-discharge zone would help prevent pathogen and nutrient loading from vessel sewage.

Toxics Prevention, Reduction, and Control

EPA and Ecology have worked together over the past few years to collect the information needed to guide decisions about toxic chemical control strategies for Puget Sound. In 2011, Ecology released a report that estimated the amount and sources of toxic chemicals entering Puget Sound. Ecology has used this report, and other information on toxics, to set priorities for the NEP grant for Puget Sound.

EPA and Ecology have a history of successes for large urban sediment cleanup such as our previous shared work on Commencement Bay. EPA and Ecology have an existing Source Control Strategy for the Lower Duwamish Waterway and will continue to implement it concurrent with EPA and Ecology's Superfund and Model Toxics Control Act sediment investigation and cleanup plans. This work will rely on an integrated approach between Ecology's water quality and toxics cleanup programs, as well as EPA's water quality and Superfund programs. This effort will consider innovative approaches to deal with the challenges in this watershed.

Stormwater

EPA, Ecology, and the Puget Sound Partnership are working together to address stormwater impacts on Puget Sound, but more efforts are required. Stormwater priorities for the next two years include:

- Development of tools to prioritize stormwater retrofit projects.
- Issuance of the municipal permit for western Washington, which will include low impact development (LID) requirements.
- Watershed-scale stormwater planning.
- Training for local government staff on LID project review.
- Additional education efforts relative to the Puget Sound Starts Here education campaign.

4. Hanford - www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/nwp/index.html

Both agencies are actively working to oversee clean up of Hanford's nuclear and hazardous waste legacy. This will be a high priority for Ecology and EPA throughout the duration of this Agreement.

Hanford, in southeast Washington, is one of, if not the most contaminated site in the country. It is uniquely outstanding in technical complexity, cleanup costs, and the decades ahead needed to safely carry out a comprehensive cleanup plan. There are numerous federal and state environmental regulations, projects, plans, schedules, an overarching "[Tri-Party Agreement](#)" (TPA – www.hanford.gov/?page=91&parent=0), and a federal court consent decree also dedicated to Hanford's cleanup. The U.S. Department of Energy manager of this site is the third party of the TPA, along with EPA and Ecology. Certainly, there are many other entities (governmental, tribal, environmental, economic, and others) directly engaged in Hanford's cleanup as well.

From a regulatory standpoint, Hanford is addressed as one site even though it is 586 square miles in size. It contains thousands of contaminated sources and millions of gallons of radioactive and hazardous wastes. Ecology's [Nuclear Waste Program](#) www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/nwp/index.html, is almost entirely dedicated to Hanford's regulatory management and its cleanup. Regulatory compliance and coordination is a challenge unlike anywhere else in the country including coordination with EPA's

Superfund (CERCLA²) Program. These are a few of the many reasons that make Hanford a mutual high priority during the period of this Agreement. In subsequent chapters of this Agreement, Hanford specific activities are addressed as they relate to the Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act and federal hazardous waste (RCRA) law.

5. Climate Change and Ocean Acidification -

www.ecy.wa.gov/climatechange/index.htm

Rising levels of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases have warmed the earth and changed the chemistry of the oceans. Washington State is already experiencing impacts that are consistent with a warming climate and changing ocean condition. Observed and projected impacts of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions include:

- Warmer temperatures and more severe heat waves
- Larger and more intense wildfires
- Drier summers and wetter autumns and winters
- Decreased snowpack and loss of natural water storage
- More frequent and severe drought
- More severe winter flooding
- Sea level rise
- More extreme weather events
- Decreased ocean pH

These environmental changes are impacting our forests, agriculture, water resources, coasts, infrastructure, shellfish and fisheries, and other resources that are vital for our economy, communities, and environment. The extent and duration of these impacts will largely be determined by our collective success in reducing future emissions of GHGs. In addition, we need to anticipate and address the implications of a changing climate in our programs, policies, rules, and operations.

Many of the challenges created by changing climate and environmental conditions are similar to those we have been wrestling with for decades – water supply and quality, ecosystem health, air quality, and shoreline and habitat protection and restoration. But the rate and severity of the changes we are likely to witness in the coming years will be unlike anything Washingtonians have ever experienced.

Washington State is addressing the challenge of climate change and ocean acidification by taking responsible and thoughtful legislative and executive actions. The state is taking a comprehensive approach in developing and implementing practical and coordinated policies and solutions to reduce energy use, meet the GHG emissions reductions adopted into law in 2008, and to unleash innovation, investment and job creation. Comprehensive and integrated strategic responses have also been developed to enable state and local agencies, public and private businesses, nongovernmental organizations, and individuals to prepare for, address, and adapt to the impacts of climate change and ocean acidification. Broad coalitions of leaders, stakeholders, and the public have offered their thoughts and ideas as the state leads the way on reducing GHG emissions, and adapt to impacts of climate change and ocean acidification.

We welcome the opportunity to continue to forge a strong and effective partnership with EPA to build on the work we have done so far to reduce GHG emissions, and respond to the environmental challenges facing us from changing climate and ocean conditions.

² Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

DRAFT

Air Quality Program

Introduction

The air in every community should be safe and healthy to breathe. Because air pollution crosses local, state, tribal, and federal borders, many agencies coordinate their activities to reduce and control air pollution. These agencies have worked together over the years to significantly improve Washington's air quality:

- Washington's seven local air quality agencies
- Ecology
- EPA

The number of days that Washington's air quality violated federal health-based standards has greatly decreased as a result of these agencies' work.

This Agreement's purpose is to improve environmental quality by strengthening and extending the partnership between local air quality agencies, Ecology, and EPA. To achieve this, partners to the Agreement commit to the following mission statement:

“Protect, preserve, and improve Washington's air quality to safeguard public health and the environment, and support high quality of life for current and future generations.”

This Agreement describes the actions and activities the partners will perform to achieve this mission. The partners commit to:

- Prevent and reduce air pollution, which includes ensuring compliance with all air quality laws and regulations.
- Reduce emissions of high priority air pollutants, especially fine particles (PM_{2.5}), ozone precursors, diesel impacts, and wood smoke.
- Prevent violations of federal air quality standards.
- Increase efficiencies and reduce transaction costs in Air Quality Program administration and implementation.

The Agreement includes outputs and ongoing activities paid for with a combination of state and federal dollars. It does not include many Ecology and local air quality agency activities funded by state and local sources. Whenever possible, Ecology devotes resources to on the ground projects that reduce air toxics exposure.

Reductions in state budgets or federal 103 or 105 grant funds would likely impair the ability of Ecology and local air quality agencies to conduct their core work and fully meet their obligations under this Agreement. Washington, like all other states, is experiencing unprecedented and severe budget shortfalls. The amount of federal grant funds expected in this biennium is also uncertain. Some outputs and ongoing activities may have to be decreased to reflect the final state budget, actual tax revenues received throughout the biennium, and the federal budget.

Review Process

The partners agree to meet as needed to maintain open communication. This will be especially important with looming state and federal budget concerns. Washington Air Quality Managers Group meetings are one way to check in, since all the partners participate in this group. Other inter-agency groups such as the Northwest Air Quality Communicators, Washington air permit writers, and Washington Air Quality Compliance Forum may also be helpful in promoting clear, open communication.

EPA Strategic Plan Alignment

The outcomes and objectives of this section correlate directly with EPA's 2011-2015 Strategic Plan under Goal 1, Objective #2, *Improve Air Quality*: "Achieve and maintain health-based air pollution standards and reduce risk from toxic air pollutants and indoor air contaminants."

Objective 1: Criteria Pollutants

Protect human health by reducing ambient concentrations of PM_{2.5}, ozone, and other criteria air pollutants. The objective is to meet air quality standards that protect public health. As part of this objective, emissions and ambient concentrations of criteria pollutants would decrease. The number of exceedances of ambient air quality standards would also decrease.

During periods of poor air quality, Ecology and/or local air quality agencies (in their respective areas) notify the public and sensitive groups about the health effects of poor air quality, and how burning wood and other choices affect air quality and health. This includes education about how individual behaviors affect air quality and health.

Objective 1 - Outcome Measures

1. Number of times PM_{2.5} exceeds healthy levels.
2. Number of citizens exposed to pollution measurements above federal standards.
3. Number of non-attainment areas.

Objective 1 – Outputs

1. Ecology will coordinate with the Puget Sound Clean Air Agency, EPA, and the Puyallup Tribe to ensure expeditious redesignation of the Tacoma-Pierce County 24-hour PM_{2.5} nonattainment area.
2. Ecology, EPA, and the local air quality agencies will coordinate about designation recommendations and related nonattainment planning.
3. Ecology and the local air agencies will submit to EPA New Source Review (NSR) rules that are federally approvable and consistent with federal rules/guidance.
 - a. Ecology will submit an NSR (both major and minor NSR) rule and State Implementation Plan (SIP).
4. Ecology will submit "infrastructure" SIP certifications for National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) as required by sections 110(a)(1) and (2) of the Clean Air Act, including:
 - a. 1997, 2006, and 2012 PM_{2.5}
 - b. 2008 lead
 - c. 2010 NO₂ and SO₂.
5. Pending final outcome of the EME Homer City Generation LP v. EPA decision, Ecology will submit a plan (SIP) addressing the "transport" element section 110(a)(2)(d) of the Act for revised NAAQS, as appropriate.
6. EPA and Ecology will work together to continue progress on the Regional Haze partial SIP approval and Federal Implementation Plan (FIP) proposed December 26, 2012.

Objective 1: Criteria Pollutants

Objective 1 - Ongoing Activities

1. Ecology and the local air agencies will seek state and federal funds to address wood stove use in communities where PM_{2.5} levels from wood smoke are high.
2. About six months before EPA must review the SIPs, Ecology, in cooperation with local air quality agencies will develop initial SIP Development Plans for significant SIP submittals. The SIP Development Plan will include schedules negotiated with EPA. EPA will review and comment on draft SIP revisions prior to the public comment period.
3. Ecology, EPA, and local air quality agencies will discuss any new PM_{2.5} violations and any possible designation recommendations.
4. EPA, Ecology, and affected local air quality agencies will communicate about the status of pending SIP submittals when applicable. They will also coordinate on prioritizing SIP review and approvals. EPA will share/update SIP workload status. Ecology will inform EPA of any new SIP submittals in a timely manner.
5. Ecology and the Local Air Agencies will work with EPA to identify exceptional events with potential regulatory significance in accordance with the Exceptional Event rule, will use appropriate flag codes, and will coordinate with EPA on preparing documentation in accordance with the Exceptional Events rule and guidance documents.
6. With EPA support, Ecology and local air quality agencies will:
 - a. Implement wood stove burn ban programs.
 - b. Advise the public when air quality is poor.
7. Ecology and local air quality agencies will:
 - a. Manage their own permit programs.
 - b. Provide public information/education.
 - c. Oversee air quality advisory systems for outdoor burning.
 - d. Update and revise rules as needed for effective air quality programs.
 - e. Submit timely SIP revisions to EPA.
8. EPA will:
 - a. Serve as regional smoke coordinator by working with other Northwest states and tribes to improve smoke management coordination and tools.
 - b. Host at least one meeting per year on smoke management issues.
9. Ecology and the local air agencies will update their rules as needed to maintain effective air quality programs and submit timely SIP revisions to EPA. Ecology will have the Attorney General's Office review Ecology regulations for SIP submittals.
10. With Ecology and EPA assistance, local air quality agencies will review local regulations to be included in the SIP.

Objective 1 - Reporting

Local air agencies may submit criteria pollutants emission data to Ecology in XML or MS Access EIS staging table format.

Objective 2: Air Toxics

To characterize the health consequences of toxic air pollution in Washington, Ecology will use data about toxic air pollutants, their health effects, and their sources. The data will be used to:

- Reduce the emissions, exposure, and/or health risks, focusing on sources or areas that have the greatest health risk.
- Focus emission reduction strategies on smoke and diesel soot to provide the greatest health benefits.

Objective 2: Air Toxics

- Better characterize industrial emissions by more efficient permit processes and improved partnerships with businesses.

As part of this objective, emissions of toxic air pollutants would decrease over time. The percentage of Washington citizens at risk from toxic air pollutants would also decline.

Objective 2 - Outcome Measures

1. Tons of diesel exhaust emitted statewide.
2. Number of diesel engines retrofitted with pollution control equipment.
3. Number of woodstoves changed out.
4. Emission levels of toxic air pollutants shown in the National Emission Inventory (NEI). (This can be handled with our NEI report.)

Objective 2 - Outputs

1. Ecology will review EPA's 2011 National Emission Inventory (NEI) and begin preparation of the 2014 NEI. Ecology will augment the NEI with state-calculated criteria and toxics inventories for significant emissions sources where state data can improve EPA estimates. The point source inventory will include available air toxics data submitted to the state by local air quality agencies. Ecology's work on the 2014 NEI will be completed by the end of 2015.
2. With cooperation from local air quality agencies, Ecology will prepare point source toxics Emissions Inventories (EI) each year. EIs will be prepared from Ecology data and data submitted by local air agencies. The inventories will be provided to EPA for the annual NEI.

Objective 2 - Ongoing Activities

1. Ecology, in partnership with the local air agencies, will:
 - a. Seek state and federal funds to develop and implement diesel reduction projects through the West Coast Diesel Collaborative or other sources.
 - b. Operate monitoring stations and evaluate field and analytic data to assure quality as outlined in the Technical Assistance Document (TAD).
 - c. Collect toxics monitoring data where fully funded by EPA.
 - d. Submit available point source toxics emission inventory data each year.
 - e. Review available National Emissions Inventory (NEI) data.
2. EPA will provide:
 - a. NEI data.
 - b. Guidance about national air toxic policies and programs.
 - c. Background information and outreach from National-scale Air Toxics Assessments (NATA) and other state and national programs.

Objective 2 - Reporting

1. For major and synthetic minor sources, the local air quality agencies, Ecology, and EPA will enter Subpart 63 Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) sources into the AIRS Facility Subsystem (AFS). Local air quality agencies will also report the Minimum Data Reporting (MDR) elements.
2. Ecology will:
 - a. Annually submit point source emission reports to EPA for the NEI.
 - b. Submit 2014 point, mobile, and nonpoint inventories to EPA for the NEI by December 31, 2015.
 - c. Request local air quality agency reporting of toxic air pollutants and submit data received to EPA.
3. Local air agencies may submit air toxics emission data to Ecology in XML or MS Access EIS staging table format.

Objective 3: Permitting and Program Delegation

Reduce, limit, and manage emissions through effective and efficient air quality permitting programs. This objective describes how Ecology and local air quality agencies will control and track emissions from industrial sources.

Objective 3 - Outcome Measures

1. Average number of days it takes to process Notice of Construction permit applications.

Objective 3 - Outputs

1. As appropriate for each agency, Ecology and local air agencies will update regulations and delegations to reflect new or revised New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) and National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPS).
2. While Ecology maintains a delegated Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) Program, EPA will work expeditiously with Ecology on revising delegations agreements as needed.
3. Ecology and EPA will work closely toward the mutual goal of a SIP approved PSD during this PPA period.
4. Ecology, local air agencies, and EPA will participate in the annual Air Operating Permit Program review.
5. Ecology will continue to:
 - a. Develop WEIRD (the Washington Emissions Inventory Repository Database), a web-based emission inventory system to track "allowable" emissions data as well as "actual" emissions data (this system will be used to collect and track available allowable emissions data from Ecology and local air quality agency permittees).
 - b. Communicate to permittees and local air quality agencies about the value of allowable emissions data, specifically by requiring PSD applicants to use allowables in their air quality impact modeling.
 - c. Communicate to the PSD consulting community that it is the source's responsibility to compile an allowable inventory for impact modeling, (although Ecology and local air quality agencies will assist if requested).
6. EPA will take the lead to make permit coordination and communication between agencies more effective. EPA and Ecology will work together to ensure early consultation and timely review of PSD permits where EPA concurrence is needed.

Objective 3 - Ongoing Activities

Ecology, local air agencies, and EPA will:

1. Administer the following air quality permitting programs for commercial and industrial sources:
 - a. Preconstruction permits for new major sources or major modifications (PSD, NAA-NSR).
 - b. NSPS and NESHAPS adopted by the state along with any additional NSPS and NESHAPS adopted by local air agencies.
 - c. Air Operating Permits (AOP) for existing sources.
2. Use EPA approved models for air quality analysis for commercial and industrial source permits, or seek approval of non-approved models.
3. Communicate with each other about permitting issues openly, directly, and in a timely manner.

Objective 3 - Reporting

Ecology, local air quality agencies, and EPA will:

1. Report AOP activity using the *Permit Register*.
2. Post Best Available Control Technology (BACT) / Lowest Achievable Emission Reduction

Objective 3: Permitting and Program Delegation

(LAER) determinations to the clearinghouse within three months of issuing the final permit (for major actions). Specify (a) the date the application was determined to be complete, and (b) the date the final permit was issued.

3. Submit major point source emissions data to the NEI within 12 months of the end of the calendar year.

Objective 4: Compliance Assurance

Maintain an effective compliance assurance program that protects human health and the environment by preventing and reducing air pollution. Carry out a balanced program that includes compliance assistance/assessment, enforcement, and follow-up.

Objective 4 - Outcome Measures (Note: these are EPA measures.)

1. The quadrennial SRF review and annual Data Metrics Analyses provide an indication of adequate compliance and enforcement programs.

Objective 4 - Outputs

Compliance Assurance Agreement:

1. EPA, in cooperation with Ecology and local air quality agencies, will update the Compliance Assurance Agreement as needed to include new commitments and requirements.
2. Ecology and local air quality agencies will follow up by developing action plans.
3. Ecology, EPA, and local air quality agencies will fulfill their commitments under the Compliance Assurance Agreement (PSD, Title V, Synthetic Minors, etc.).
4. EPA, Ecology, and local air agencies will periodically review and discuss compliance and enforcement program trends in federally-delegated programs. This topic should be, at a minimum, a part of the collaborative planning meetings.

Objective 4 - Ongoing Activities

1. Ecology and local air quality agencies will conduct compliance programs according to the Compliance Assurance Agreement for those sources and activities the Agreement applies to.
2. Agencies will resolve high priority violations according to EPA's "Timely and Appropriate Enforcement Response Guidance for high priority violators (HPVs)," and as outlined in the Compliance Assurance Agreement.
3. Ecology, local air quality agencies, and EPA will hold conference calls to discuss every other month to discuss:
 - a. High priority violations.
 - b. Policy and strategy issues.
4. EPA will conduct compliance assistance and enforcement on tribal lands.
5. For programs EPA cannot delegate, EPA, as resources allow, will conduct:
 - a. Complaint response
 - b. Inspections
 - c. Priority enforcement actions
 - d. Other activities statewide (example: chlorofluorocarbons).
6. For national and regional priority work or as requested by state/local agencies, EPA will perform inspection and enforcement work according to the Compliance Assurance Agreement.
7. Ecology and the local air agencies will continue to participate in the State Review Framework (SRF). As resources allow, Ecology and the local air agencies will work with EPA to implement recommendations and address areas that need attention as identified in the 2013 SRF report. The most recent SRF process began in 2012 and will be completed by September 30, 2013. The next

Objective 4: Compliance Assurance

SRF process is presently scheduled to begin in 2015 and be completed by September 30, 2016.

8. As resources allow, Ecology will participate in the annual enforcement data verifications process. Each fall EPA headquarters will post the specific set of data verification metrics on the Online Tracking Information System (OTIS). Ecology and the local air agencies will ensure that any necessary data corrections are made in the program data systems. After verified data are frozen, EPA will develop annual data metrics analyses to be completed by September 30 of each year.

Objective 4 - Reporting

1. All agencies will:
 - a. Meet reporting requirements contained in the Compliance Assurance Agreement.
 - b. Do their best to measure and report emission reductions from enforcement actions against HPVs. One way is to use EPA's case conclusion worksheet.
2. Ecology and local air quality agencies will submit accurate and complete AFS data.
3. EPA will communicate to Ecology and affected local air agencies about EPA enforcement actions in a timely manner, and before actions are finalized.

Objective 5: Monitoring and Assessment

To characterize the health consequences of air pollution in Washington, agencies will collect data that has the greatest benefit for public health, and increase the public understanding of the health effects and costs of pollution.

Objective 5 - Outcome Measures

1. Air monitoring delegated by EPA to Ecology and local air agencies meets all federal requirements. The monitoring will also provide enough information to:
 - a. Collect data that has the most relevance to public health.
 - b. Protect public health.
2. Air monitoring data meets EPA requirements for data completeness at each monitor.

Objective 5 - Outputs

1. Ecology works with local air quality agencies to complete and submit a review of the air monitoring network to EPA by July 1 of each year. EPA will respond within 120 days of the submittal of the monitoring network plan.
2. Ecology, EPA, and local air quality agencies will use listservs, e-mails, and web pages to inform the public about air monitoring results.
3. Ecology, EPA, and local air agencies will use data resources to support communication and understanding about identified air pollution problems.

Objective 5 - Ongoing Activities

1. Ecology and local air quality agencies will operate the statewide National Air Monitoring Site network, according to 40 CFR Part 58.
2. Ecology will:
 - a. Submit monitoring data to Air Quality System (AQS) within 90 days of the end of each quarter.
 - b. Provide a quality assurance program for ambient data as required by 40 CFR Part 58, Appendix A.
 - c. Working with local air agencies, collect data and prepare emission inventory and air monitoring databases to support air quality modeling.
3. EPA will:
 1. Review and approve an annual monitoring network review within 120 days of Ecology's

Objective 5: Monitoring and Assessment

submittal.

2. Provide annual quality assurance audits as required by 40 CFR Part 58, Appendix A.

Objective 5: Reporting

1. Ecology will:
 - a. Submit AQS data to EPA within 90 days of the end of each quarter.
 - b. Write and submit quarterly Quality Assurance (QA) reports to EPA.
 - c. Notify EPA by email as soon as it is evident that any ambient air standards have been exceeded within the Washington monitoring network.
 - d. Provide ambient data to EPA upon request.

DRAFT

Hazardous Waste (RCRA)

Introduction

Ecology implements the EPA-authorized Hazardous Waste Program pursuant to the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). The RCRA program is administered through the Washington State *Dangerous Waste Regulations*, Chapter 173-303 WAC. This chapter addresses RCRA implementation in Washington. General procedures on corrective action and permitting, along with additional details on how EPA and Ecology manage RCRA authorization and activities in Washington are included in Appendix B, page 67.

Assuring Compliance

Ecology strives to assure that generators, transporters, and facilities that treat, store, or dispose of hazardous waste do so properly. This includes minimizing the risk of releases of hazardous wastes to the air, water, and land. Ecology does this by assuring compliance with state and federal regulations and encouraging waste minimization practices. Ecology's RCRA work also complies with all appropriate provisions of the federal Endangered Species Act and the other relevant federal laws and rules as specified within Chapter 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 270.3.

Ecology and EPA recognize the following RCRA activities will be carried out in a manner consistent with and mindful of advancing environmental justice and the protection of children's health. More information is available about these overarching priorities as they apply to this Agreement, in [Chapter 4](#).

Ecology's RCRA Activities

This chapter covers all of Ecology's federally-funded RCRA activities relative to this Agreement. Administratively, Ecology's RCRA activities are performed through a combination of the:

- [Hazardous Waste and Toxics Reduction Program \(HWTR\)](#)
The HWTR program is responsible for implementation of most of the RCRA-based activities in the state, except for those managed by the Industrial Section and the Nuclear Waste Program.
- [Industrial Section](#), within the [Waste-2-Resources Program](#)
The Industrial Section has specific RCRA responsibilities for refineries, pulp and paper mills, aluminum smelters, and other specific large industrial sites.
- [Nuclear Waste Program \(NWP\)](#)
The NWP is responsible for compliance oversight at Hanford and four other facilities that manage dangerous and/or mixed (radioactive and hazardous) waste: Areva, Perma-Fix, Puget Sound Naval Shipyard, and Energy Northwest.

EPA's RCRA Activities

The EPA Region 10 RCRA Program within the Office of Air, Waste, and Toxics and the Office of Compliance and Enforcement (Air/RCRA Compliance Unit), will perform EPA's RCRA work.

During the period of this Agreement, a focused task will be EPA's review of Ecology's RCRA program specific to permitting. Preparations and planning for this review will be coordinated at the quarterly RCRA Managers meetings in advance.

Evaluating Activity Commitments and Levels of Effort

Activity commitments and levels of effort are planned and agreed to for the first year and then again for the second year. This best allows for agile and timely prioritization of RCRA work. Ecology and EPA will evaluate the commitments by the end of this Agreement's first year and adjust or amend them as necessary to carry through the second year. Commitments and levels of effort are documented in the RCRA Work Plan, discussed later in this chapter.

Nothing herein limits EPA's ability to otherwise review decisions made by Ecology, including those subject to review under the *Resource Conservation and Recovery Act - Hazardous Waste Program Memorandum of Agreement* (RCRA MOA), signed in 2006 between Ecology and EPA Region 10.

RCRA Priorities and Goals

The EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan established goals for strategic planning and budgeting. EPA's national goals that pertain to the hazardous waste program are outlined below.

- Cleaning up communities and advancing sustainable development (EPA Goal 3).
- Ensuring the safety of chemicals and preventing pollution (EPA Goal 4).
- Enforcing environmental laws (EPA Goal 5).

To support EPA's goals above and to meet state priorities, Ecology will work to achieve the following goals and priorities in FY 2014-2015:

1. Minimize environmental threats caused by mismanagement of hazardous waste by implementing effective compliance assurance activities, including fair and firm enforcement.
2. Continue to improve the *Dangerous Waste Regulations* and maintain an authorized RCRA program.
3. Continue to reduce the generation of hazardous waste in Washington by at least two percent annually.
4. Implement the state hazardous and solid waste plan, titled Beyond Waste (see www.ecy.wa.gov/beyondwaste). This includes work to minimize or eliminate the use of toxic substances, the generation of toxic wastes, and meet the Beyond Waste goals.
5. Accomplish safe and timely permitting, closure, and corrective action.
6. Improve internal and external access to meaningful, quality information for use in accomplishing RCRA and Beyond Waste work, including collecting information to measure progress and success.
7. Work with EPA to minimize duplicative efforts and coordinate in advance to streamline EPA's review and approval of state actions when necessary.

Collectively, both agencies will pursue these goals through:

- Environmental compliance monitoring
- Enforcement
- Permitting
- Corrective action
- Pollution prevention activities

Environmental and Performance Indicators

During this Agreement, core performance measures will be used to assess the success of the RCRA program. Data for these and other measures are available through EPA's national Biennial Reporting System, Toxics Release Inventory, and the RCRAInfo database.

The core measures that Ecology and EPA will use for assessing performance are aligned with Ecology's goals and priorities above. They include:

- Pounds of hazardous waste generated per facility, per year.
- Pounds of toxic chemicals released to air, land, and water per year, as measured by the Toxics Release Inventory.
- Progress on the number and percentage of sites subject to RCRA corrective action that have (a) human exposures under control and (b) ground water contamination under control, as measured in the RCRAInfo database.
- Percent of high and medium priority facilities subject to corrective action, where a *final remedy* or an *interim measure* is in place for any portion of the facility.
- Percent of facilities that require either an *operating* or *post closure* permit, where there are approved controls in place, as measured in the RCRAInfo database.
- Percent incidence of "environmental threats" (as defined by Ecology) per inspection by calendar year. Analysis will include data in the RCRAInfo database.
- Rates of *Significant Non-Compliance*, and percentage of *Significant Non-Compliance* facilities that are returned to compliance.
- Number of enforcement actions taken.
- Number of environmental risks resolved.

Grant Performance Outputs

For the purposes of EPA monitoring the RCRA grant, Ecology will complete the following:

1. Enter all RCRA-based inspections, enforcement, and compliance information into EPA's national RCRAInfo database in a timely manner (within 30 days, but no later than 60 days of the event).
2. Collect and process annual waste generator and handler reports.
3. Collect and process notifications of dangerous waste activities and assign RCRA Site ID numbers.
4. Conduct statutorily mandated and state priority hazardous waste inspections.
5. Conduct follow-up and enforcement activities to address violators.
6. Conduct technical assistance and compliance assistance visits.

7. Conduct RCRA closure and corrective action work to make progress in achieving the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) goals.
8. Conduct permitting work to meet the national GPRA permitting goals for RCRA.
9. Maintain RCRA authorization and coordinate with EPA to revise and update regulations.

Full-time Employee Summary (numbers to be determined in June, 2013)

For the purposes of this Agreement, one full-time employee (1 full time equivalent or FTE) equals \$X per year.

- The total number of Ecology FTEs funded by the EPA RCRA grant under this agreement is X.
- The total grant amount is \$ X which consists of \$ X (X FTEs) federal money and \$ X (X FTEs) required State matching funds.
- The total EPA FTEs involved in implementing the RCRA Program in Washington is X.

Activities, Mid-term Review, FTEs, and RCRA Work Plan

Activities in this Agreement apply to EPA's RCRA grant to Ecology for state fiscal years (FY) 2014 and 2015, which begin July 1, 2013 and July 1, 2014, respectively. This Agreement expires June 30, 2015. During this period, Ecology and EPA will review the RCRA activities and make necessary adjustments as described below.

Ecology will summarize progress on activities in an end-of-year report for each fiscal year. These reports will include a narrative explaining progress in completing the agreed upon activities and tracking data concerning these activities. EPA and Ecology will coordinate on producing an end-of-year report regarding these commitments. This report will be completed by September 30 of same year.

Ecology activities specified below show the number of Ecology FTEs funded by the grant and the number of Ecology FTEs funded by state matching funds. The "level of effort" information in the sections below list EPA resources devoted to RCRA work in Washington.

The details of Ecology RCRA commitments are described in Ecology's detailed RCRA Work Plan for each fiscal year. The RCRA Work Plan includes commitments for the HWTR Program, the Nuclear Waste Program, and the Industrial Section. The RCRA Work Plan is incorporated by reference as part of this Agreement and revised annually.

RCRA Work Plan

Activities in this Agreement apply to EPA's RCRA grant to Ecology for State fiscal years (FY) 2014 and 2015, which begin July 1, 2013 and July 1, 2014, respectively. This Agreement expires June 30, 2015. During this period, Ecology and EPA will review the RCRA activities and make necessary adjustments as described below.

Ecology will summarize progress on activities in an end-of-year report for each fiscal year. These reports will include a narrative explaining progress in completing the agreed upon activities and tracking data concerning these activities. EPA and Ecology will coordinate on producing an end-of-year report regarding these commitments. This report will be completed by September 30 of same year.

Ecology activities specified below show the number of Ecology full time equivalents (FTEs) funded by the grant and the number of Ecology FTEs funded by State matching funds. The “level of effort” information in the sections below for EPA lists EPA resources devoted to RCRA work in Washington.

The details of Ecology RCRA commitments are described in Ecology's detailed RCRA Work Plan for each fiscal year. The RCRA Work Plan includes commitments for the HWTR program, the Nuclear Waste Program and the Industrial Section. The RCRA Work Plan, revised annually, is incorporated by reference as part of this Agreement.

Beyond Waste Program (Ecology)

Ecology is implementing the state’s solid and hazardous waste plans as required by state law (RCW 70.105 and RCW 70.95). Ecology adopted the Beyond Waste Plan (Plan) in November 2004; its first five-year update was completed in 2009; and the 2014 update is expected during the period of this Agreement. The Beyond Waste Progress Report can be viewed at www.ecy.wa.gov/beyondwaste.

To move “beyond waste” is defined in the Plan’s vision statement:

“We can transition to a society where waste is viewed as inefficient and where most wastes and toxic substances have been eliminated. This will contribute to economic, social, and environmental vitality.”

Due to the Washington State Governor’s Executive Order on Sustainability (05-01, see www.governor.wa.gov/office/execorders/eoarchive/eo_05-01.pdf), the Plan is to achieve the goal of Beyond Waste in 30 years. In the short-term, implementing the Plan should position Washington to effectively reduce waste through revised policies and programs. The Plan will help Washington provide better service to the public, businesses, and government, and facilitate efforts to protect the environment, human health, and the State's economic development.

EPA will support Ecology’s efforts in implementing the Beyond Waste Plan and will coordinate its efforts under its Sustainable Materials Management Program and other related EPA initiatives where appropriate.

RCRA Authorization

Ecology will maintain an authorized program in compliance with federal requirements found within 40 CFR Part 271.21.

Ecology will coordinate with EPA during any modification to ensure the state RCRA Program is equivalent to the federal RCRA Program, which is necessary to maintain an authorization. Ecology will also coordinate with EPA to correct any previously authorized state rules that EPA has identified as needing revision. Following such rule making, Ecology will revise related authorization documents as needed.

Ecology level of effort in FTE: X (grant) X (match) Total X

EPA commits to work cooperatively with Ecology during the state rule development process and throughout the development of Ecology's draft and final authorization revision application. Additionally, EPA will continue its effort to review and determine if previous unauthorized Ecology-

initiated rule changes need to be federally authorized. If so, EPA may initiate a "mini" authorization revision to include these previously unauthorized Ecology-initiated rule changes.

EPA Level of effort in FTE: X

RCRA Information Management

Ecology will enter all appropriate RCRA data into the national RCRAInfo and RCRA Biennial Reporting System (hazardous waste) databases. Each of the Ecology programs conducting RCRA work will be responsible for their own data quality and data entry.

Ecology's RCRA data and information management related activities include:

- Inspections
- Enforcement actions
- Return to compliance information
- Corrective action milestones
- Closure and post-closure milestones
- Permit milestones
- Financial assurance
- Any other data necessary to track environmental and performance indicators in the RCRAInfo data system

Ecology will also:

1. Maintain procedures to assure data quality and timely data entry.

Inspection, compliance, monitoring, and enforcement data will be entered/updated monthly in RCRAInfo. Within 30 days of the conclusion of a site visit, initial visit data will be entered in RCRAInfo, including at least the inspection type, date, and initial assessment whether or not a compliance issue was observed. Additional compliance and enforcement data entry will occur within 30 days of completion of inspection reports, issuance of enforcement actions, or finalization of other documentation.

All other facility specific RCRAInfo data (including permitting, closure, corrective action, and facility status) will be reviewed for accuracy and entered into RCRAInfo within two months of its collection. The procedures and data will also be reviewed and discussed as needed at the quarterly RCRA Managers meetings.

Level of effort in FTE: X (grant) X (match) Total X

2. Collect and process annual reports.

Ecology will provide information to EPA's Biennial Report System per the RCRA Memorandum of Agreement (MOA). Ecology will also maintain the RCRAInfo Waste Activity Monitoring module and enter all required data necessary for quality reporting. This includes most or all elements from Ecology's TurboWaste data system (RCRAInfo, Ecology, and U.S. data elements). This activity includes maintenance of the TurboWaste database.

Level of effort in FTE: X (grant) X (match) Total X

3. Collect and process notifications of dangerous waste activity forms.

Forms will be collected and processed for all Washington hazardous waste generator sites where Ecology has jurisdiction.

Level of effort in FTE: X (grant) X (match) Total X

EPA will:

1. Assist in maintaining EPA's national RCRAInfo database, keep data current, and participate in the RCRAInfo Workgroup.

This involves a regular review of data by site managers for their sites and updating their data in Ecology's TurboWaste.Net application. These updates include submitting annual dangerous waste reports and withdrawing RCRA Site ID#'s when appropriate. EPA will be responsible for collecting and entering data regarding hazardous waste activity on Indian lands, except for the Puyallup Tribe, as defined by the "do not translate list." EPA will notify Ecology of all changes to this list at the time such changes are made.

EPA Level of effort in FTE: X

2. Conduct a review of Ecology's RCRA permitting program.

These activities will begin during the latter half of calendar year 2013.

3. Notify and share with Ecology (as soon as possible) the results of RCRAInfo data reviews before their distribution.

This will give Ecology the chance to confirm that the data is current and accurate. It also gives Ecology a chance to address gaps or questions related to the data in a timely manner. EPA will assist in resolving data discrepancies within its control and communicate with Ecology on the results of such efforts. This includes matters regarding the handler translation process.

4. Maintain and provide Ecology access to RCRAInfo.

EPA will maintain the Region 10 RCRAInfo report system and allow Ecology staff access via the internet.

5. Provide RCRAInfo training.

This includes guidance and support for changes or new features to RCRAInfo.

6. Refer assignment of RCRA Site ID numbers to Ecology.

Ecology will assign all RCRA Site ID numbers except for those on non-Puyallup Tribal Indian lands. This includes the assignment of RCRA Site ID numbers for Superfund sites and EPA spill sites.

7. EPA will be responsible for extracting and using the RCRAInfo data to report to EPA headquarters.

Compliance Assurance

Ecology will conduct a specific number of facility inspections committed in the RCRA Work Plan.

If Ecology decides not to conduct a federally mandated inspection identified in the RCRA Work Plan, Ecology will immediately notify EPA in writing along with justification for this decision. Ecology and EPA have agreed that TSDs not identified as "operating" and not actively treating, storing, or disposing of hazardous waste will not be inspected on an every-other-year basis.

Level of effort in FTE: X (grant) X (match) Total X

Ecology will address violations and compliance issues in a manner consistent with Ecology's RCRA Program Compliance Assurance Policy and the Ecology/EPA Compliance Assurance Agreement (see Chapter 43.05.040 Revised Code of Washington). In its penalty calculations, Ecology will work toward capturing economic benefits that businesses accrued through non-compliance, as guided by EPA's "BEN" computer model and by other means. Data, including significant non-compliance, will be entered

into RCRAInfo within 30 days of the determination of the non-compliant status, and reviewed for quality assurance quarterly.

Level of effort in FTE: X (grant) X (match) Total X

The major focus of the Nuclear Waste Program's compliance activities is the cleanup and closure of the U. S. Department of Energy's Hanford Nuclear Reservation (Hanford). The NWP also regulates compliance at Hanford with water and air quality permits. Additionally, the Tri-Party Agreement (TPA) is part of the compliance program.

EPA will coordinate with Ecology on compliance issues and inspections that EPA will lead in Washington. EPA will implement compliance activities in Indian Country in coordination with the various tribal governments and the state.

EPA may take compliance enforcement lead on select sites as negotiated by Ecology and EPA and as provided in the Compliance Assurance Principles. Sites where EPA and Ecology may negotiate for EPA to take compliance enforcement lead include, but are not limited to, compliance actions in support of national or regional initiatives. Decisions for EPA to take compliance enforcement lead shall be consistent with the "EPA/State Agency Agreement on Compliance Assurance Principles."

Level of effort in FTE: X

Regarding RCRA compliance activities at Hanford, inspection and enforcement work will be specified in the RCRA Work Plan, referenced above.

Corrective Action

Ecology and EPA are working toward meeting the goals set by the federal Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA). GPRA establishes goals for the corrective action program using EPA's "2020 Corrective Action Baseline," which includes:

- Facilities on the 2008 corrective action baseline.
- Additional facilities on the permitting track.
- Other facilities that Ecology and EPA agree are appropriate to address under corrective action.

Nationwide Goal for 2020

The 2020 Baseline includes high, medium, and low priority facilities. EPA's nationwide goal for the 2020 Baseline is to have final cleanup remedies constructed by 2020 at 95 percent of the facilities believed to need corrective action.

Ecology-specific goals for 2014-2015 are identified in the referenced annual RCRA Work Plan. Ecology's work to address corrective action will also contribute toward achievement of the nationwide goals established in EPA's strategic plans, under which EPA Region 10 has made specific commitments.

Under the corrective action program, EPA continues the "human exposures under control (CA725)", "groundwater migration under control (CA750)" measures, first introduced as part of the 2005 GPRA cycle, and the "Remedy Construction Complete (CA550)" which was added under the 2008 GRPR cycle.

Interim Nationwide Goal for 2014

Interim nationwide goals for 2014 are:

- 87% = human exposures under control (EPA Annual Commitment System [ACS] #CA1).
- 78% = migration of contaminated groundwater under control (EPA ACS #CA2).
- 56% = remedy construction complete (EPA ACS #CA5).

Region 10's commitments under these goals are made each year after consultation with Ecology and other authorized Region 10 states. Region 10 specific commitments in federal fiscal year 2013 are CA1 – 2 sites with human exposures under control; CA2 – 3 sites with migration of contaminated groundwater under control; and CA5 – 2 sites which have achieved remedy construction complete. Ecology's RCRA Work Plan will address the specific sites which will assist EPA in meeting these commitments and goals.

Quarterly and Annual Updates

Ecology will maintain and regularly update RCRAInfo with respect to the goals above. On a quarterly basis, as part of the RCRA Managers meetings, Ecology will keep EPA informed on progress towards these goals.

In August of each year, Ecology will make any necessary changes to the "Documentation of Environmental Indicator Determination" forms. Ecology will also complete "Ready for Anticipated Use" forms as part of this yearly update. This applies to facilities that have met the cleanup goals for media that affect land use and have implemented needed institutional controls.

Aside from the quarterly RCRA Managers meetings and the annual updates, EPA has agreed to limit requests for augmented corrective action information to minimize disruption to Ecology's site work.

Level of Ecology effort: X FTE (grant), X (match), total X FTE

Level of EPA effort: X FTE

Permitting and Closure Work Commitments

Ecology and EPA will strive to meet EPA's national baseline for TSD permitting. The goal for permitting during federal FY 2014- 2015 is for 100 percent of the hazardous waste management facilities to have controls in place to prevent toxic releases to air, soil, surface water, and groundwater. EPA also sets nationwide goals for issuing permit renewals within its Strategic Plan. Ecology permit renewal achievements form a portion of EPA Region 10's contribution towards accomplishment of the national goals.

To this end, Ecology will invest the designated level of effort to ensure environmental protection at TSD facilities. Ecology will negotiate site-specific priorities, tools, and expectations with EPA. Decisions will be documented in brief individual work plans, and revised throughout the year as situations change. These negotiations will be conducted through the quarterly RCRA Managers meetings and facility-specific discussions. Both agencies will document and sign any changes agreed to in these negotiations. Ecology and EPA developed and use a streamlined permitting process for RCRA corrective action facilities without operating RCRA regulated units. Specific duties and responsibilities of Ecology and EPA for permitting and work sharing will be determined through annual program planning for both agencies, and through the quarterly RCRA Managers meetings, in accordance with the RCRA MOA.

Enforcement orders issued under Washington's Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA, - the state's cleanup authority) will be used to satisfy corrective action requirements. A short permit shell (a framework permit or "Permit Lite") will be issued that incorporates by reference the MTCA enforcement order as a permit condition. This process eliminates duplication of work and allows the use of the MTCA process, which is generally faster. It may also be more stringent and is familiar to the business community in Washington. A schedule of permits that both agencies will work on during this Agreement will be included in the RCRA Work Plan. Data for milestones achieved will be entered into *RCRAInfo*.

The HWTR Program intends to work on "Permit Lite" and accompanying MTCA enforcement order negotiations throughout this Agreement's period for facilities named in the RCRA Work Plan. The HWTR Program will work on re-issuing storage and treatment permits as specified in the RCRA Work Plan throughout the period of the Agreement. Maintenance of existing permits through modifications will also occur throughout this period.

Level of effort in FTE: X (grant) X (match) Total X

Specific to the Nuclear Waste Program

The main focus for dangerous waste permitting continues to be re-issuing a new Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Permit. EPA has and will continue to provide oversight, technical, and programmatic support for permit re-issuance.

The Nuclear Waste Program is currently working with EPA and HWTR, specific to the Hanford RCRA permit to:

- Prepare responses to public comments.
- Require the Department of Energy to submit revised permit application information.
- Modify the permit to address substantial comments and issues.
- Prepare a revised draft permit for public comment on the parts of the permit with substantive changes and a response to the comments received in the 2012 public comment period.
- Reopen the comment period for the parts of the permit that changed.
- Address public comments from the reopened comment period.
- Issue the final permit.

Regarding Hanford's Perma-Fix facility, Ecology and EPA are reviewing a Dangerous Waste Part B permit and TSCA application. A technical evaluation of the permit is currently being conducted. Both agencies intend to follow the same approach for issuance and implementation of the current permit, a jointly-signed Dangerous Waste Permit and TSCA approval, a single document that contains a table of authorities to identify program jurisdiction. The agencies are completing a detailed technical review of the processing information Section 4 of the application. This review has revealed that the application is technically inadequate and will require substantial modification. Both agencies will work with Perma-Fix to obtain a technically complete application, and then begin drafting the permit and approval conditions.

Both agencies will continue processing permit modifications to accommodate Perma-Fix's new projects. EPA will provide technical support, as necessary, in processing these modifications.

Technical Assistance

Ecology will provide technical assistance for compliance, waste minimization, and pollution prevention through a combination of:

- Site visits
- Answering phone calls and emails
- Outreach, publications, and website resources
- Webinars
- Video conferences
- Workshops

Level of effort in FTE: X (grant) X (match) Total X

Ecology also considers its Urban Waters and Local Source Control activities (noted above under Compliance Assurance) as technical assistance. RCRA compliance is more successful when technical assistance is available as a core element of the program.

EPA Technical Assistance to Ecology

EPA will provide technical assistance to Ecology including Ecology's work at Hanford. This work will include technical and regulatory consultation.

Level of effort in FTE: X

EPA Coordination and Contracts

State Review Framework

Ecology will work with EPA to implement recommendations and address areas needing attention as identified in the 2013 State Review Framework (SRF) report. The most recent SRF process began in 2012 and will be completed by September 2013. The next SRF process is presently scheduled to begin in 2015 and be completed by September 2016.

As an ongoing part of SRF, Ecology will conduct annual data verifications for Ecology data in RCRAInfo generally in the December to February timeframe, per the entry in [Chapter 3](#) of this Agreement. After data are frozen, EPA will develop annual data metrics analyses to be completed by September 30th of each year.

Program Coordination

The EPA Region 10 State Coordinators do general program coordination. This work includes joint inspections, oversight work, program reviews, grant administration, planning, training, and assuring open communication between Ecology and EPA.

Level of effort in FTE: X

Contract Work

Region 10 coordination includes contract work funded by EPA to assist Ecology in implementing the waste program. Work relevant to RCRA corrective action is included.

Level of effort in FTE: X

DRAFT

Water Quality Program

Introduction

Ecology administers most of the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) based programs throughout Washington State. EPA’s role is to:

- Oversee the implementation of State-authorized programs.
- Provide technical and analytical support for State-authorized programs.
- Directly implement non-authorized programs, in most cases with State assistance.

This Agreement reflects the mutual understandings reached between Ecology and EPA for program implementation and extent of oversight.

The objectives and activities listed in this Agreement cover many aspects of water quality protection in Washington State. However, only a subset of these activities is funded by EPA grants.

One of EPA’s grants to Ecology is the Performance Partnership Grant (PPG) which is provided in accordance with Section 106 of the CWA. This Agreement will also serve as the work plan for PPG funds provided to Ecology. The specific activities in this work plan, funded by the PPG, are identified at the end of each numbered subsection below.

Performance Partnership Grant Objectives, Activities, and Measures

1. Nonpoint Source Pollution Control	
Ecology Helen Bresler (360) 407-6180 hbre461@ecy.wa.gov	EPA Jill Gable (206) 553-2582 gable.jill@epa.gov
Objectives	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Programs are designed to prevent nonpoint source pollution and habitat alteration, and protect water quality and human health. • Programs are designed to clean up nonpoint source pollution. • Programs are designed to restore aquatic habitats, and protect water quality and human health. • Financial assistance is provided to water quality partners and is targeted to the highest environmental needs. 	
Activities and Measures	
1A. Ecology will implement the Ecology actions identified in Table 5.1 of the Water Quality Management Plan to Control Nonpoint Source Pollution (2005, also known as the Washington	

1. Nonpoint Source Pollution Control

State Nonpoint Plan) depending on available funds. Ecology will submit an annual end-of-year report by April 1 of each year and EPA will review and provide a satisfactory progress determination to Ecology at or before awarding the CWA 319 grant. EPA will use these reports as the basis for determining continued eligibility for future CWA Section 319 grants.

- 1B. Ecology and EPA will submit and award the CWA Section 319 grant on a biennial basis rather than an annual basis. For the years in which Ecology applies for the grant, Ecology will submit a grant proposal no later than March 31 and EPA will process the grant and provide funding no later than July 1 of that same year.
- 1C. Ecology will submit semi-annual CWA Section 319 grant progress reports by August 31 and March 1 of each year which cover the previous half of the state fiscal year.
- 1D. Ecology and EPA will continue to participate on Forests and Fish committees and workgroups, particularly the Policy Committee and the Cooperative Monitoring, Evaluation and Research Committee. Ecology and EPA will continue to work with Washington State Department of Natural Resources and other agencies to ensure forest practices rules are implemented to comply with the habitat conservation plan and with state water quality standards and the Clean Water Act.
- 1E. Ecology will enter all past year 319 project data, including load reduction estimates as applicable into the Grants Reporting and Tracking System. All data for FFY 2013 and 2014-funded projects will be entered no later than April 1st, 2014 and 2015 respectively. Yearly load reduction data is due in February 15th each year. (EPA Program Activity Measure (PAM) WQ-9)
- 1F. Ecology will report in the 2011 and 2012 Nonpoint Source annual reports the number of watershed-based plans, supported under the State Nonpoint Source Management Program since the beginning of FY 2002 that have been substantially implemented. Ecology will provide water miles/acres covered.
- 1G. Ecology will continue to work with EPA to complete at least two success stories per year. The stories will show progress toward or achievement of water quality standards under EPA PAM WQ-10 guidance, as a result of Nonpoint Source (NPS) implementation measures.
- 1H. Ecology will coordinate with EPA on the nonpoint plan during its development. Ecology will complete a draft of the State Nonpoint Plan by December 2014.
- 1I. EPA will actively support Ecology as it prepares and issues its nonpoint strategy.
- 1J. Ecology and EPA will work together toward final approval of Washington's Coastal Nonpoint Source Control Program (CZARA).

1. Nonpoint Source Pollution Control

1K. EPA will work with NRCS and Ecology's Water Quality Program to design a simple process to collaborate on the NWQI watershed selection and on the 590 practice.

Resources

- Total Ecology FTEs: X
- Ecology FTEs funded by PPG: X
- Activities funded by PPG: 1A through 1I, 1K

2. Point Source Pollution Control

Ecology

Bill Moore
(360) 407-6460
bmoo461@ecy.wa.gov

EPA

Mike Lidgard
(206) 553-1755
lidgard.michael@epa.gov

EPA

Kim Ogle (Compliance)
(206) 553-0955
Ogle.Kimberly@epa.gov

Objectives

- All discharge permits are current, protect water quality, human health and aquatic habitat; and include water conservation and pollution prevention measures.
- All discharges are in compliance with permits, water quality standards, best management practices, and other requirements to protect Washington's waters.
- All discharge permits implement applicable Waste Load Allocations from EPA-approved Total Maximum Daily Loads.
- Water quality laws are firmly and fairly enforced to ensure compliance.
- Requirements and procedures are clear and predictable.
- The National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program is implemented effectively and in accordance with the current Memorandum of Agreement and Compliance Assurance Agreement.

Activities and Measures - Pretreatment

Ecology

Dave Knight
(360) 407-6277
dakn461@ecy.wa.gov

EPA

Michael Le
(206) 553-1099
Le.Michael@epa.gov

2A. Ecology will conduct an audit of each delegated pretreatment program at least every 5 years and a pretreatment compliance inspection (PCI) or audit of each pretreatment Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) at least every 2 years.

2B. Ecology will forward copies of pretreatment compliance inspection and pretreatment audit reports (EPA Form 3560-3) for Pretreatment POTW as soon as they are completed to:

Michael Le
Regional Pretreatment Coordinator
EPA Region 10, NPDES Permits Unit (OW-130)

2. Point Source Pollution Control

1200 Sixth Avenue
Seattle, WA 98101

Ecology may instead fax them to his attention at (206) 553-1280, or email a scanned copy of each report to Le.Michael@epa.gov.

- 2C. Ecology will evaluate compliance status of all approved programs for non-compliance and report the facility names and permit numbers of POTWs with approved pretreatment programs in non-compliance to the Region 10 Pretreatment Coordinator by October 31 of each year. The report will cover the previous federal fiscal year.
- 2D. Ecology will report the facility names and permit numbers of Significant Industrial Users (SIUs) including Categorical Industrial Users discharging to POTWs without approved pretreatment programs; and the SIUs of that universe that have been determined to be in significant noncompliance to the Region 10 Pretreatment Coordinator by October 31 of each year. The report will cover the previous federal fiscal year.
- 2E. Ecology will enter all data required to be reported under items 2A – 2D in Ecology’s Permit and Reporting Information System (PARIS).

Resources - Pretreatment

- Total Ecology FTEs: X
- Ecology FTEs funded by PPG: X
- Activities funded by PPG: None

Activities and Measures - Compliance and Enforcement

Ecology Greg Stegman (425) 649-7019 gste461@ecy.wa.gov	EPA Robert Grandinetti (509) 376-3748 grandinetti.robert@epa.gov	EPA Diane Davis (206) 553-1296 davis.diane@epa.gov (PCS)
--	--	---

- 2F. On at least an annual basis, EPA and Ecology (both permitting and compliance representatives) will meet to have annual NPDES planning sessions. These sessions are not the same as the water quality managers’ annual meeting to discuss progress under the overall PPA, noted in item 8C. This annual NPDES planning effort is in accordance with EPA’s Clean Water Act Action Plan. Participants will discuss appropriate priorities and goals, performance expectations, permitting and enforcement program improvements identified in program reviews, inspection and enforcement targeting, roles and responsibilities, work sharing and the avoidance of duplication of effort. The annual planning session will include other program participation as appropriate (e.g., cleanup programs for Puget Sound discussions) and will occur by October 31 of each year. Follow-up inter-program check-ins on specific priorities, activities, or issues through this NPDES planning process will be reflected in future PPAs as appropriate. This process will be accomplished through EPA and the Ecology Enforcement Work Group.

2. Point Source Pollution Control

- 2G. Ecology will continue its inspection program of major and minor facilities. Ecology will implement the Clean Water Compliance Monitoring Strategy (CMS) to ensure adequate coverage of regulated entities. The CWA CMS is part of an ongoing compliance monitoring strategy developed by EPA to allow for more flexible use of resources for States in performing inspections. Ecology will use the Region 10 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Compliance Monitoring spreadsheet. This annual CMS plan must also be submitted to EPA by December 31 of each year. EPA contact: Robert Grandinetti, email at grandinetti.robert@epa.gov. Ecology will ensure that each inspection report has a Quality Assurance review. This review could be done by a peer or a supervisor.
- 2H. Ecology will continue to work with EPA to ensure the upload of data from PARIS to ICIS-NPDES. Any errors that occur are to be resolved in a timely manner.
- 2I. Ecology will provide a manual report of their NPDES “traditional” non-major facilities to EPA by December 31 of each year. EPA sends a notice to Ecology each year requesting that they submit an Annual Non-Compliance Report for their “traditional” non-major facilities for the previous calendar year (i.e., if the report is due by December 31, 2013, it is for calendar year 2012 data). Points of contact for Ecology are [Greg Stegman](#) and [Nancy Kmet](#).
- 2J. Ecology will provide instructions and training, if desired, to Washington Department of Agriculture so that the Washington Department of Agriculture can:
- Continue to enter all information on permitted facilities into PARIS and;
 - Continue to enter all environmental compliance information into PARIS, permitted or not (excluding routine inspection information).
- 2K. Ecology will continue to participate in the State Review Framework (SRF). Ecology will work with EPA to implement recommendations and address areas that need attention as identified in the 2013 SRF report. The most recent SRF process began in 2012, and will be completed by September 30, 2013. The next SRF process is presently scheduled to begin in 2015, and be completed by September 30, 2016.
- 2L. As part of the SRF, Ecology will participate in annual data verification of Ecology data in ICIS-NPDES, per the entry in [Chapter 3](#) of this PPA. After data are frozen, EPA will develop annual data metrics analyses to be completed by September 30 of each year.
- 2M. Rob Grandinetti will serve as an *ex officio* member of the Water Quality Program’s Enforcement Workgroup, which meets quarterly.

2. Point Source Pollution Control

Resources - Compliance and Enforcement

- Total Ecology FTEs: X
- Ecology FTEs funded by PPG: X
- Activities funded by PPG: 2F through 2G

Activities and Measures - Permits

Ecology

Bill Moore

(360) 407-6460

bill.moore@ecy.wa.gov

EPA

Karen Burgess

(206) 553-1644

burgess.karenh@epa.gov

- 2N. Ecology will maintain the overall NPDES facility backlog to no greater than 20 percent during this PPA period. Ecology will submit a draft “NPDES permitting plan” to EPA by June 1 of each year which covers the upcoming state fiscal year. The plan will list the permits that Ecology intends to take action on and will note which of them are designated “high priority.” Ecology will identify the number of “high priority” permits to issue during each federal fiscal year. Ecology will report to EPA once per quarter on issuance of high priority permits and the NPDES backlog rate. (PAMS WQ-18 and WQ-29) The information to be submitted quarterly will no longer be submitted separately to EPA once the data is available electronically through the PARIS/PCS database link. If data is available through PCS, EPA will use that data and only ask Ecology for data specified in this agreement that is not in PCS.
- 2O. EPA will reduce the NPDES backlog of federal and tribal permits to 30% by July 2013. EPA will share its NPDES permitting plan with Ecology by October 1 of each year which covers the upcoming federal fiscal year. The plan will list the permits which EPA intends to take action on and will note which of them are designated “high priority,” such as permits in areas covered by approved TMDLs or in Puget Sound.
- 2P. EPA will attempt to review at least one Ecology permit per month. Permits are reviewed programmatically for consistency with state and federal regulations and policies. EPA reviews major permits, with emphasis on larger facilities and dischargers with potential to significantly impact receiving environments. EPA also reviews permits as requested by Ecology. When possible, EPA’s review rotates among Ecology regions. EPA will not hold NPDES permits issued by Ecology to a higher standard than NPDES permits issued by EPA.
- 2Q. Ecology will continue to be responsible for issuing coverage under the Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) permit. Ecology will reissue the CAFO permit in 2014. EPA will review and comment on the draft permit and provide technical assistance to the state as needed. (PAM WQ-19)

2. Point Source Pollution Control

- 2R. EPA will help seek additional funds for Ecology's effort to estimate toxics loading from point sources to Puget Sound.
- 2S. Ecology will improve permit and fact sheet shells and other tools through its Permit Workgroup. EPA sits on the Permit Workgroup and has the opportunity to comment on the adequacy of the changes that Ecology will propose to address the PQR issues. EPA will develop the 2013 PQR document.
- 2T. EPA will continue to work on its federal facility permit backlog, and Ecology will consider the feasibility of taking on the authorization for the NPDES federal facilities portion of the program.
- 2U. Ecology and EPA will update Washington's NPDES permit program Memorandum of Agreement.
- 2W. Compliance and permitting representatives from both EPA and Ecology will meet on an annual basis for an NPDES planning session consistent with EPA's Clean Water Action Plan. This meeting will be separate from the water quality managers' meeting to discuss overall progress under the PPA (see item 8C). Participants will discuss NPDES goals, priorities, performance expectations, areas for program improvements as identified during program reviews, inspection and enforcement targets, roles and responsibilities, work sharing and the avoidance of duplicating efforts. The annual review will take place by October 31st and will be coordinated by the EPA's NPDES Compliance Unit. The meeting may include participants from other EPA and/or Ecology programs as necessary to facilitate cross-program coordination and communication. Additional meetings may be needed to follow up on specific priorities, activities and/or issues. Priorities, action items and performance measures identified through this planning process may be reflected in future PPAs as appropriate.

Resources - Permits

- Total Ecology FTEs: X
- Ecology FTEs funded by PPG: X
- Activities funded by PPG: None

3. Water Cleanup Plans (TMDLs) and Standards

<p>Ecology Melissa Gildersleeve (360) 407-6461 mgil461@ecy.wa.gov</p>	<p>EPA -Water Cleanup Plans Laurie Mann (206) 553-1583 mann.laurie@epa.gov</p>
<p>EPA - Water Quality Standards Angela Chung (206) 553-6511</p>	<p>EPA - Water Quality Assessments David Croxton (206) 553-6694</p>

3. Water Cleanup Plans (TMDLs) and Standards

chung.angela@epa.gov

croxton.david@epa.gov

Objectives:

- Water cleanup plans (TMDLs) are scheduled, completed, implemented, and their success is evaluated.
- Ecology will move straight to implementation in less complicated watersheds.
- Develop, maintain, and implement surface water quality standards that protect beneficial uses.
- Comprehensively assess water bodies in Washington to assign categories according to water quality, to meet Clean Water Act requirements in sections 303(d) and 305(b).

Activities and Measures - Water Cleanup Plans (Total Maximum Daily Loads)

- 3A. EPA and Ecology will work together to meet the commitments of the 1998 Settlement Agreement. Completing 1566 TMDLs by June 30, 2013 will require completion of about 250 TMDLs per year for the next 3 years. These TMDLs will be counted based on the water body identification system used by Ecology to develop the 1996 303(d) list. Ecology will also report and track on straight to implementation efforts that result in clean water.
- 3B. Ecology and EPA will meet at least once per year to conduct workload planning and evaluation for the development and implementation of TMDLs. Ecology will also provide EPA with annual lists of TMDLs to be completed for the upcoming year and prepare annual TMDL progress reports for the previous year. EPA will provide Ecology with information on TMDLs for federal facilities and tribal lands for the purposes of ongoing coordination. At this meeting, Ecology will report on the pace to produce TMDLs. EPA will work closely with Ecology to decide whether EPA will develop TMDLs to help achieve compliance with the 1998 settlement agreement. EPA and Ecology will coordinate on any TMDLs EPA proposes to develop before EPA begins work. At least twice per year, EPA will give Ecology regular updates on EPA's review/approval of TMDLs. The review will include information on each TMDL in process – both current status and expected next steps.
- 3C. Ecology and EPA will jointly work on the EPA-led Columbia River Toxics Workgroup.
- 3D. Where Washington is engaged in a TMDL that has cross border issues EPA will provide the leadership for bringing those issues to resolution.
- 3E. Ecology will continue to develop Water Quality Implementation Plans (WQIPs) to implement TMDLs. The WQIPs are watershed-based plans some of which are supported by the CWA 319 program. Ecology will track the implementation of these WQIPs and report on implementation.
- 3F. EPA will assist with Ecology's South Puget Sound Dissolved Oxygen Study. EPA will continue to serve on the advisory committee and track issues related to dischargers they permit (most importantly the Fort Lewis treatment plant).

3. Water Cleanup Plans (TMDLs) and Standards

If EPA has concerns with the state federally approved Water Quality Standards they should work directly with Ecology on those concerns before working with other entities. If each agency agrees those concerns need to be addressed, they will work together on a path to do so.

Resources - Water Cleanup Plans (Total Maximum Daily Loads)

- Total Ecology FTEs: X
- Ecology FTEs funded by PPG: X, including FTEs directly supporting staff conducting PPG-funded activities
- Activities funded by PPG: 3A through 3E

Activities and Measures - Water Quality Standards

- 3G. Ecology will conduct a rulemaking to update human health criteria that will also take into account factors used to calculate each chemical criterion including risk, duration of exposure, and more accurate data about how much fish and shellfish people eat in Washington State. Ecology will conduct a concurrent rulemaking to develop and enhance implementation tools that can be used to keep dischargers in compliance as they work towards achieving new, stricter criteria.
- 3H. EPA will assist with Ecology's South Puget Sound Dissolved Oxygen Study. EPA will continue to serve on the advisory committee and track issues related to dischargers they permit (most importantly the Fort Lewis treatment plant). Ecology will provide EPA with a schedule for completing the South Puget Sound DO Technical Study by July 21, 2013.
- 3I. Ecology will provide technical assistance to others in the development of use attainability analyses, variances, and other tools where a change in a standard appears appropriate. Ecology and EPA will work together throughout the development of such water quality standard changes. EPA will provide a timely response to use attainability analyses and other submittals from Ecology that require EPA approval or review.
- 3J. EPA will take the lead in coordinating a process to resolve conflicts created when different standards are adopted for shared waters (tribal and state jurisdictional boundaries).
- 3K. EPA will provide information to Ecology on tribal water quality standards in a timely manner, and will work with the tribes to encourage outreach to state governments and the state's non-tribal citizens.
- 3L. Ecology and EPA will continue to work together on addressing priority nutrient problems to reduce current loadings of nitrogen and phosphorus to surface waters through existing programs and state priorities.
- 3M. EPA and Ecology will regularly share information and meet on an as needed basis, at least once a year, to discuss the status of ongoing and future water quality standard projects.

3. Water Cleanup Plans (TMDLs) and Standards

Resources - Water Quality Standards

- Total Ecology FTEs: X
- Ecology FTEs funded by PPG: X
- Activities funded by PPG: 3G through 3I, 3L through 3M

Activities and Measures – Water Quality Assessments

- 3N. Ecology will assess fresh water data and develop the next Washington Integrated Report to meet Clean Water Act requirements for sections 303(d) and 305(b), including the candidate 303(d) list for fresh waters to be submitted to EPA for approval by spring 2014. This next Assessment will include a new segmentation system based on the National Hydrography Dataset (NHD) that will be more compatible with national mapping protocols.
- 3O. Ecology will continue to work with EPA to ensure Washington’s Watershed Assessment Tracking (WATS) System database has fields equivalent to the data elements defined in EPA’s Assessment Database. This will improve the ability to provide consistent reporting at the national level. (PAM WQ-7, EPA National Water Program Fiscal Year 2009 Guidance)
- 3P. Ecology will continue to track water quality monitoring data in its Environmental Information Management (EIM) database for use in the periodic assessment of water bodies for the Integrated Report and for supporting EPA performance measures and success stories. Ecology will assist EPA in the identification of watersheds where water quality has improved using the watershed approach (PAM SP-12) and will assist EPA in reporting on the number of water segments where initial restoration planning is complete. (PAM WQ-21)
- 3R. Ecology will tally and justify the number of water bodies / impairments that have moved from Water Quality Assessment Categories 4 or 5 (as listed in the next approved Washington State Water Quality Assessment) to Categories 1 through 3 after approval is received by EPA on the 2014 Assessment. (PAMs SP-10 and SP-11)

Resources – Water Quality Assessments

- Total Ecology FTEs: X
- Ecology FTEs funded by PPG: X
- Activities funded by PPG: 3N through 3T

4. Stormwater (including CSOs and SSOs)

Ecology

Mark Henley
(425) 649-7103
mahe461@ecy.wa.gov

EPA - Compliance/Enforcement

Kristine Karlson
(206) 553-0290
Karlson.Kristine@epa.gov

<p>EPA - Compliance/Enforcement Julie Congdon (206) 553-2752 congdon.julie@epa.gov</p>	<p>EPA - Permits Misha Vakoc (206) 553-6650 Vakoc.Misha@epa.gov</p>
<p>Objectives:</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide best available science, information, and tools to local governments and industry to manage stormwater. • Expedite stormwater project review and delivery. • Provide a compliance pathway for businesses, industries, local governments and others to federal stormwater permit requirements. • Implement a municipal stormwater permitting program for Phase I and Phase II that is consistent with Federal permitting requirements and protects water quality and is consistent with other environmental programs such as Superfund and National Estuary Program Management Plans. • All discharge permits implement applicable Waste Load Allocations from EPA- approved TMDLs. 	
<p>Activities and Measures</p>	
<p>4A. Ecology will continue to manage the Phase I and Phase II stormwater permit program. This includes construction, industrial and municipal stormwater permits.</p> <p>4B. Ecology will continue to implement Ecology’s combined sewer overflow (CSO) reduction regulation in all NPDES permits issued to facilities that operate a combined sewer system (CSS). Per Ecology’s regulation, such permittees have approved CSO Reduction Plans in place. NPDES permits for CSS facilities include requirements for the submission of Annual CSO Reports and a CSO Reduction Plan Amendment at the end of each permit cycle.</p> <p>Permits also include a compliance schedule for the implementation of projects during the permit cycle. To comply with EPA’s 1994 CSO Control Policy, Ecology will incorporate into NPDES permits the requirements to implement the Nine Minimum Controls (NMC), and Long Term Control Plan (LTCP) elements including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public participation in the planning process. • No feasible alternatives analysis for permits with authorized bypass language where appropriate. • Post construction compliance monitoring as appropriate. <p>EPA will recognize the similarities, differences and seniority of Ecology’s combined sewer overflow (CSO) reduction regulation (issue date 1/27/87) as compared to EPA’s 1994 CSO Control Policy (codified in the Wet Weather Water Quality Act of 2000). EPA and Ecology will work together to resolve differences so that permittees can securely implement CSO reduction projects to reach the level of control. EPA will perform some inspections of the CSO facilities in Washington.</p>	

- 4C. Ecology will assure that all new NPDES permits include language prohibiting sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs) and requiring reporting if such SSOs occur.
- 4D. EPA will perform inspections of NPDES permitted collection systems of municipalities with an associated wastewater treatment plant designed for 10 MGD or greater. EPA will work with Ecology on an overall inspection plan. Before doing the inspections EPA will coordinate with Ecology and provide Ecology an opportunity to participate. EPA will copy Ecology on all correspondence, reports and press releases. EPA will do inspections of targeted MS4 facilities in coordination with Ecology MS4 permit managers.
- 4E. Ecology will implement the industrial stormwater general permit by providing technical assistance and enforcement.
- 4F. Ecology will prepare an annual Sanitary Sewer Overflow report card. The report will include a list of SSO events, estimated volumes and solutions. The report will be submitted by April 1 of each year and cover the preceding calendar year. The report(s) will be mailed to the attention of:

Rob Grandinetti
 EPA Region 10, Hanford Project Office
 309 Bradley Blvd., Suite 115
 Richland, WA 99352

Ecology may also fax the report to (509) 376-2396, or email to Grandinetti.robert@epa.gov.

Resources

- Total Ecology FTEs: X
- Ecology FTEs funded by PPG: X
- Activities funded by PPG: None

5. Groundwater and Underground Injection Control

<p>Ecology - Groundwater Susan Braley (360) 407-6414 subr461@ecy.wa.gov</p>	<p>Ecology - UIC Mary Shaleen-Hansen (360) 407-6143 maha461@ecy.wa.gov</p>
<p>EPA - Groundwater Chan Pongkhamsing (206) 553-1806 pongkhamsing.chan@epa.gov</p>	<p>EPA - UIC Kirk Robinson (206) 553-2104 robinson.kirk@epa.gov</p>

5. Groundwater and Underground Injection Control

Objectives:

- Protect groundwater quality, beneficial uses and safe drinking water by ensuring that the groundwater quality standards are met. All groundwater in Washington State is classified and protected as a potential source of drinking water.
- Provide groundwater quality technical assistance to the public; local, state and federal government; as well as permitted facility operators and permit applicants.

Activities and Measures – Groundwater - Base

- 5A. Ecology will conduct a statewide nitrate prioritization project to provide better mapping and data-sharing capabilities on where nitrates are occurring, in partnership with state agencies working on agricultural land issues (Agriculture and Conservation Commission), the Department of Health (DOH), USGS, NRCS, and EPA.
- 5B. Ecology and EPA will continue to provide a single point of contact to work with each agency and other stakeholders on the Yakima Groundwater issue and will work to make sure their internal programs are coordinated so agencies and stakeholders get a coordinated message. Ecology Water Quality Program will work to implement activities to address the pollutant sources in the lower Yakima. A Ground Water Management Area (GWMA) has been formed and is now fully functioning, with Yakima County acting as lead agency. The GWMA will work to identify and quantify nitrate sources and establish a long-term nitrate monitoring program. Ecology has provided start-up funding and will be actively involved. Ecology has contracted with the U.S. Geological Survey to provide an enhanced SPARROW (SPATIally Referenced Regressions On Watershed attributes) model and will use it in identifying and quantifying non-point nutrient sources and the role of nutrients in groundwater.
- 5C. Ecology will protect groundwater quality by continuing to work with the Washington Department of Health (DOH) and local health jurisdictions to improve permitting of on-site sewage systems to protect public health, in addition to groundwater quality. Ecology will continue to coordinate with EPA and DOH as EPA's Phase Three groundwater contamination report is released and help with peer review if necessary.
- 5D. Ecology will protect safe drinking water through continued work with DOH, including incorporating the results of source water assessments of drinking water systems into enforcement, education, and technical assistance efforts as resources allow.
- 5E. Ecology will provide technical and educational efforts to local jurisdictions on Critical Aquifer Recharge Area ordinances related to the protection of groundwater.
- 5F. Ecology and EPA will coordinate on EPA-funded projects that have the potential to impact state groundwater resources.

5. Groundwater and Underground Injection Control

Resources - Groundwater

- Total Ecology FTEs: X
- Ecology FTEs funded by PPG: X
- Activities funded by PPG: 5A through 5F

Activities and Measures - Underground Injection Control (UIC)

- 5G. Ecology will protect drinking water and groundwater quality by implementing the Underground Injection Control (UIC) program and associated UIC Rule (WAC 173-218). Ecology will:
- Implement the UIC rule program by completing out-reach activities to better educate the public and private well owners on the rule program, such as developing guidance on well assessments, distributing brochures to local governments, and offering training as needed.
 - Provide technical assistance to owners of private and publicly owned UIC wells.
 - Submit reports to EPA in a timely manner, and continue to work with EPA to ensure the appropriate information is provided in a format that meets each agency's needs. Ecology will submit inventory, inspection and closure information to EPA electronically. (2011 PAMs SDW 7b and 8)
 - If requested, Ecology will conduct joint UIC inspections with EPA. If UIC wells are found to be out of compliance, Ecology and/or EPA will take appropriate actions to correct the situation.
 - Ecology and EPA will complete the exploration of the options, technical issues, and logistics required to transfer data from Ecology's UIC database to the national UIC database system and implement a data flow. If Ecology receives EPA Information Exchange Network Grant Program money, Ecology will use the grant money to prepare and upload the UIC data to EPA's Central Data Exchange Network.

Resources - Underground Injection Control (UIC)

- Total Ecology FTEs: X
- Ecology FTEs funded by PPG: X
- Activities funded by PPG: 5G

6. Sediments

Ecology
Kathy Taylor
(360) 407-6923
kathy.taylor@ecy.wa.gov

EPA
Jonathan Freedman
(206) 553-0226
freedman.jonathan@epa.gov

Objectives:

- Cleanup and restore existing contaminated sediments and prevent future sediment contamination.

6. Sediments

Activities and Measures

- 6A. Ecology will update the Sediment Cleanup Status Report.
- 6B. Ecology has adopted freshwater sediment standards and will develop implementation guidance.
- 6C. Ecology will work to develop guidance to support the cleanup of wood waste sediment sites in the state.
- 6D. Ecology sediment staff will provide ongoing support to water quality staff for the development of the next 303(d) Impaired Water Bodies list as related to sediment quality. This will include updating procedures in program policy to determine sediment impacted water bodies for 303(d) listing purposes based on Sediment Management Standards rule interpretation.
- 6E. Ecology will continue to participate with the Bellingham Bay Pilot partners in implementing planned Bellingham Bay cleanup and restoration plan actions.

Resources

- Total Ecology FTEs: X
- Ecology FTEs funded by PPG: X
- Activities funded by PPG: None

7. Financial Assistance

Ecology - Financial Assistance Jeff Nejedly (360) 407- 6572 jeff.nejedly@ecy.wa.gov	Ecology – SAAP/STAG Tammie McClure (360) 407-6410 tammie.mcclure@ecy.wa.gov	Ecology- SRF Shelly McMurry (360) 7132 shelly.mccmurry@ecy.wa.gov
	EPA – SAAP/STAG Mike Lehner (206) 553-6349 lehner.mike@epa.gov	EPA - SRF David Carcia (206) 553-0890 carcia.david@epa.gov EPA – ARRA Michelle Tucker (206)553-1414 Tucker.michelle@epa.gov

Objectives:

- Protect the public health and the environment by improvements to existing and construction of new wastewater treatment infrastructure.
- Provide low-interest loans to public bodies for high priority water quality projects that improve and protect the water quality of Washington State.
- Provide funding for nonpoint source projects and development and implementation of a comprehensive estuary management plan.

Activities and Measures – Special Appropriation Act Projects (SAAP) / State and Tribal Assistance Grants (STAG)

7A. Ecology will manage 7 wastewater projects that have been appropriated SAAP/STAG funding from Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2004 to FFY2010. New appropriations may or may not be made each FFY.

Oversight of the projects may include the following:

- Tracking and reporting
- Technical assistance
- The review of:
 - Grant applications
 - Facility plans and/or preliminary engineering reports
 - Plans and specifications
 - Bid solicitation and contract documents
 - Bid evaluation and contract award
 - Change orders, payment requests for jointly funded projects
 - Operation and maintenance manual for jointly funded projects
 - Owners/engineers declaration that the project is capable of meeting the objectives for which it was planned, designed and constructed
- Interim and final inspections for jointly funded projects
- Audit resolution assistance
- Certification that the grant can be closed out

7. Financial Assistance

7B. Funding for Ecology staff time for administration and oversight of these wastewater SAAP/STAG projects will come from the 3 percent set-aside monies provided for in a grant awarded to Ecology.

Resources – Special Appropriation Act Projects /State and Tribal Assistance Grants

- Total Ecology FTEs: X
- Ecology FTEs funded by PPG: X
- Activities funded by PPG: None

Activities and Measures – Clean Water State Revolving Fund Loan Program

7E. Ecology will manage the Washington State Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund (SRF) program per Chapter 173-98 WAC, Uses and Limitations of the Washington State Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund as it was amended on October 28, 2011. Ecology will monitor and evaluate key management and policy aspects of the SRF program, including the interest rate structure, adequate SRF program management and administration, water quality outcomes and benefits reporting, and SRF perpetuity.

Assuming that timely appropriations are made by Congress, Ecology will:

- Issue the SRF Draft List and Intended Use Plan for each state fiscal year (SFY) on, or before, May 1 of each year.
- Apply for the FFY 2013 SRF Capitalization Grant by May 31, 2013.
- Issue the SRF Final List and Intended Use Plan for each SFY on, or before, July 1 of each year.
- Submit the SRF data through the National Information Management System to EPA by September 3 of each year.
- Submit SRF SFY Annual Reports to EPA by September 30 of each year.
- Report project information and environmental outcomes for each SRF funded project through EPA's CWSRF Benefits Reporting System.
- Review and update if necessary, the SRF Operating Agreement between EPA and Ecology every two years.

7F. Ecology staff time for administration and oversight of the SRF program will be funded from the four (4) percent administrative set-aside from the federal Capitalization Grant.

7G. Ecology will address projected future shortfalls in SRF program administration funding through a request for legislation to review RCW 90.50A (Water Pollution Control Facilities – Federal Capitalization Grants) to authorize Ecology to assess an SRF administrative charge on loans and

7. Financial Assistance

establish a new SRF Administration Account. Upon legislative approval, Ecology will implement the new administrative charge through rule-making revisions to WAC 173.98 (Uses and Limitations of the Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund).

7H. Ecology and EPA will continue to work toward Ecology's designation as EPA's non-Federal Representative for informal ESA consultation for revolving fund financed treatment works projects.

7I. Ecology will continue to address expeditious use of federal funds and unliquidated obligations by making payments on all new loan projects from the federal grant funds, oldest first, until caught up to the most recent federal grant award.

Resources – Clean Water State Revolving Fund Loan Program

- Total Ecology FTEs: X
- Ecology FTEs funded by PPG: X
- Activities funded by PPG: None

Activities and Measures - Implementing Provisions of the America Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009

7J. Ecology will continue to manage one remaining Recovery Act funded project from the 2009 ARRA grant, which was authorized through an EPA extension beyond the June 30, 2013 ARRA deadline. The project will be managed consistent with federal and state requirements and provide oversight to ensure proper use of the funds.

7K. Ecology will continue to meet all required ARRA monitoring and reporting.

Resources- Implementing Provisions of the America Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009

- Total Ecology FTEs: X
- Ecology FTEs funded by PPG: X
- Activities funded by PPG: None

8. Administrative

Ecology

Eli Levitt
(360) 407-6499
eli.levitt@ecy.wa.gov

EPA

Jo Henszey
(360) 753-9469
Henszey.Jo@epamail.epa.gov

Objectives:

- The Agreement is managed for efficiency and accountability.
- Electronic data sharing is the preferred mechanism to transfer information.

Activities and Measures

8. Administrative

- 8A. Ecology will develop water quality performance measures and report these to EPA on a semi-annual basis by August 31 and February 28 of each year.
- 8B. Ecology will provide a written status report on the commitments in this Agreement to EPA on a semi-annual basis by August 31 and February 28 of each year. Ecology will post this status report on their Agreement website.
- 8C. Ecology and EPA water quality managers will meet annually to discuss key water quality issues and progress in meeting the commitments in this Agreement. Ecology will organize and host the 2014 meeting and EPA will organize and host the 2015 meeting.
- 8D. EPA will participate in Water Quality Program management meetings when necessary to coordinate an effective water quality program. EPA will provide Ecology with relevant information on implementing water quality regulatory programs including water quality protection programs of other states to assist Ecology. EPA will notify Ecology of any federal law, regulatory change, or policy interpretation that would necessitate a change in State law to maintain a delegated program. Ecology will work with EPA to develop appropriate responses to such notifications.

Resources

- Total Ecology FTEs: X
- Ecology FTEs funded by PPG: X
- Activities funded by PPG: 8C through 8D

DRAFT

Public Comments

Appendix A is reserved for comments received during the public comment period.

DRAFT

DRAFT

RCRA Procedures

The following RCRA-based procedures are carried out in support of Ecology's core RCRA work.

These items are not a focus of the specific work plans referenced in [Chapter 8](#). While less routine, these procedures are noted here to ensure they are formally incorporated into this Agreement. EPA and Ecology anticipate these items will be further incorporated into the next RCRA Memorandum of Understand (MOU), last revised in 2006 between EPA and Ecology. Periodic updates of this MOU are needed every few years to be sure Ecology's hazardous waste authorization and procedures are properly aligned with those of EPA and the federal RCRA rules.

Financial Assurance (EPA)

EPA will continue to communicate with Ecology about its national strategy and will incorporate Ecology's interests into implementation efforts. EPA will coordinate with Ecology regarding EPA's oversight efforts related to financial assurance compliance. EPA will provide support to Ecology when requested as resources permit.

Review of Corrective Action Procedures

Both EPA and Ecology recognize resources are limited and the potential for further budget cuts during the period of this Agreement. The corrective action procedures (per formal RCRA "Corrective Action" requirements) described below are intended to avoid duplication of effort and provide a clear and streamlined corrective action review process.

Washington's corrective action program is an authorized, mature program that does not warrant extensive review and oversight by EPA. However, EPA seeks to ensure that corrective action decisions comply with federal law. To this end, EPA retains its ability to review decisions made by the state. The following procedures describe how EPA and Ecology will conduct agency reviews:

- At the quarterly RCRA Managers meetings, EPA will identify facilities where they have a significant interest in reviewing Ecology draft decisions.
- For facilities that have an approaching cleanup milestone requiring public notice (in state or federal law), Ecology will share their draft decision with EPA three weeks before initiating public notice.
- EPA will provide their comments, including those from their legal counsel, to Ecology before the end of the three-week period so public comment periods can stay on schedule, and EPA comments are given to Ecology before the start of the comment periods.
- All EPA comments regarding Ecology's decision will include the specific RCRA law or rule requirement that EPA believes either (a) does not satisfy, or (b) potentially violates RCRA. EPA will also suggest remedies.
- All Ecology comments regarding the EPA decision will include the specific law or rule requirement that Ecology believes either (a) does not satisfy, or (b) potentially violates RCRA. Ecology will also suggest remedies.

- EPA and Ecology will base their comments on laws and/or rules. Any comments provided without a law or rule reference will be characterized as technical opinions for information or discussion purposes only.
- Ecology and EPA will use the quarterly RCRA Managers meetings to determine, well in advance, any other facilities that EPA wishes to review, and if any further decisions merit cross-agency review and comment before a final decision.
- The non-lead agency may make suggestions not based on a law or rule, but those suggestions will not be binding on the lead agency.

RCRA Permitting Procedures

This section describes the procedures for EPA and Ecology interaction regarding the development of RCRA permits.

While EPA may comment on any draft permit or proposed permit modification, EPA's oversight will focus on major facilities. Major facilities will be identified in the referenced RCRA Work Plan, the HWTR's program description within the state program's formal authorization from EPA, or at the quarterly RCRA Managers meetings.

Ecology and EPA will strive to agree on permit conditions before issuing a draft permit or proposed permit modification for public comment. Ecology and EPA will assign lead staff to each major permit. The leads will resolve issues quickly and proceed to finalize the respective permit or permit modification. Ecology and EPA will discuss and agree to the specific schedules for these reviews at the quarterly RCRA Managers meetings. Both agencies intend to follow the procedures and timeframes established, subject to respective resource constraints.

Ecology and EPA agree to:

- Provide copies of all major permit applications, proposed permits, and draft permits for review and comment within two weeks of receipt.
- Provide copies of all major final permits within two weeks of issuance.

Ecology and EPA will also determine at quarterly RCRA Managers meetings which, if any, non-major facility permit applications, draft permits, and/or proposed permits Ecology will submit to EPA for review and comment.

Ecology will host a SharePoint site for each of the RCRA operating permit applications and renewals. EPA, Ecology, and the respective facility will have access to the SharePoint site during the entire permit review and development process. The SharePoint site will allow for document collaboration during permit review.

For all Ecology RCRA permit actions at major treatment, storage and/or disposal (TSD) facilities, there will be three specific opportunities for EPA to comment:

1. At the beginning of a TSD permit application review.
2. Before public notice of the preliminary draft permit.
3. As part of the final public comment period.

Ecology will consider all comments EPA makes on permit applications and preliminary draft permits. Ecology will resolve or refute EPA's concerns on a particular permit application, proposed permit modification, or draft permit in writing before issuing the permit or making the modification. EPA will withdraw such comments if satisfied that Ecology has met its concerns.

Beginning of a TSD Permit Application Review

First, a draft application is submitted to Ecology. This triggers the first opportunity for EPA to comment on the application. EPA may review the draft application to help Ecology determine whether the application is complete. The purpose of this review is to identify inadequate information in an application.

EPA's comments will include:

- A justification, based on guidance or rule, why more or different information is necessary.
- A specific description of what changes EPA suggests are needed to determine the application is complete.

EPA's goal is to submit comments in writing to Ecology within three weeks of receipt of the application, or on a schedule otherwise mutually agreed upon before or at the next quarterly RCRA Managers meeting. Ecology must receive EPA's comments before Ecology finishes the completeness review, as required by WAC 173-303-840(1)(b).

Before Public Notice of the Preliminary Draft Permit

The second opportunity for EPA to comment is on Ecology's preliminary draft permit, before the public notice process begins. Ecology will alert EPA when a preliminary draft permit is ready for public notice. EPA intends to use this opportunity in the majority of cases. This is an informal review, for the purpose of identifying any issues that should be resolved before the preliminary draft permit is open for public comment. EPA comments will include:

- A statement of the reasons for the comment, including the section(s) of RCRA and/or the state regulations that support the comment; and
- Recommended actions that Ecology should take to address EPA's comments, including the conditions that the permit would include if issued by EPA.

EPA will respond within three weeks of receipt of the preliminary draft permit, or on a schedule otherwise mutually agreed upon before or at the next quarterly RCRA Managers meeting.

In addition to comments, EPA is also encouraged to submit suggestions addressing issues not covered in rule or official agency guidance, but which EPA believes may enhance or improve the permit's quality or documents. Ecology will consider the suggestions and may incorporate them into permitting documents, including the preliminary draft permit.

If Ecology can't sufficiently resolve EPA's comments on the draft permit, Ecology may request that EPA Region 10's Administrator and Ecology's Director meet before the public notice of the draft permit. The EPA Regional Administrator and Ecology's Director will make an effort to meet within 20 days of the date the EPA Regional Administrator receives the Ecology Director's request.

Final Public Comment Period

The third opportunity for EPA to comment is during the formal public comment period for the draft permit. During this time, EPA may comment on any draft permit action, whether or not EPA commented on the permit application or the preliminary draft permit. EPA expects the use of this option will be rare.

When EPA comments that the issuance, modification, re-issuance, termination, or denial of a permit would be inconsistent with the approved RCRA program, EPA will include:

- The reasons for the comment, to include the section(s) of state law or rule(s) that support the comment.
- The actions Ecology should take to address the comment, including the conditions the permit would include if issued by EPA.

Procedures for Addressing Permitting Issues Resulting from Authorization Changes

If Ecology's RCRA authorization should change during the period of the Agreement, the Agreement may need formal modification. In such an event, Ecology and EPA will follow a coordinated permitting process. In this process, two permit actions would be anticipated: (1) Ecology would issue a permit for the requirements for which it is authorized, and (2) EPA would issue a permit for those RCRA requirements for which the state is not authorized. The two permit actions may be taken separately, but, to the extent possible, Ecology and EPA will strive to coordinate schedules to issue them at the same time. This is so one fact sheet, one public notice and one public comment period can be used. On a case by case basis, HWTR and EPA may instead agree to issue a joint permit.

Provide a reasonable advance notice to Ecology before conducting a RCRA program review related to this Agreement. This is to ensure adequate Ecology resources can be re-directed from other work elements in the Agreement to support such a review. The mutual goal is to assure a timely, quality, and accurate review can take place. This acknowledges that other Ecology tasks in the Agreement may have to be scaled back or delayed because of such a review. Any such review undertaken by EPA will measure Ecology's performance against the EPA RCRA Enforcement Response Policy.